A
B
C
D
E
F
G
H
I
J
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

A
B
C
D
E
F
G
H
I
J
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

A.

Armstrong Jas. vs S. & Burch 226.

-B-

4yr 10

Burnham Geo. vs B. F. Walker 57.
Brundy S. A. " Jas. Roberts 59.
Blackman " John Brinkwell 239.
Berry Mother " Bard etal 273.
Barth Dante Rene " E. L. Rea 277.
Birkhead J. S. " Dong Chung 280
Barnett C. M. " Hawk Harm 314

A
B
C
D
E
F
G
H
I
J
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

C.

Creed. J.V. vs. J.B. Burke. 219.
Clure H.B. " A.P. Adams. 251
Creed. J.V. " J.B. Burke 297.
Compton A.N. " M. R. Madera 315

D.

Davis. G.A. vs. Hogan & W. John 7
" W.D. " A.P. Boyle 18
Dunken. A.D. " Chas. Marin 29
Duquesne L. " R.E. Carlton 51
Dunahue Emmons & " C.J. Gallagher. 141
" " " H. Lobaley. 255

E.

Engle, Sophia vs C. E. Simms admr. 104.
Edwards, P. N. " S. A. Bell admr 114
Einstein Louis & Co. " J. B. Wilson 248.
Ewing H. N. " B. Smith 291.

-F-

Fanning, P. R. vs Staton J. W. — 4-
" " " Eliz. Ellis 59.
Faber & Zeno " Stolz & Bunn 91
Firth G. " H. A. Cramer 131
Frazer Bell " Daniel McPherson 238
Fanning P. R. " A. H. Barcroft 281.
First National Bank. " J. L. Smith 300
" " " " " 301.

E
F
G
H
I
J
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

W. S. Grady. vs – H. Schell – 3
" Gusta. M. N. " Peter Dammert. 67.
Grady. W. S. " E. J. Griffith et al – 87.
Gobby. Louis – " John Cerini 274.

(H)

Haber W. P. vs. C. J. Keller et al. 121.
Hoffman A. J. – " – Kenny ex Long. 124

Jenny P.L. — u — J.C. Evans — 189.

I
J
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Kutner Goldstn & Co –	vs J. Regensburger	233.
" "	C. Lardon –	234.
Keyes. W. S. –	" J. H. Nolan – Sub	285.
Kutner & Goldstn & Co –	" C. S. Benjamin –	292.
" "	" H. B. C. Hill –	296.

.L.–

Laughlin W. O.	vs M. Dunlan.	64.
Leighman. D.	" R. M. McDonald.	144
Lopus John.	" Buchanan & Merr –	303.

.M-

Murphy - J.B. - v. F. H. Bartlett. 28
Mead O. J. — " G. W. Chisman - 189.
Morgan. J.B. " F. K. Shafer adm. 217
Mitronel. A — " James Dette 241

Purdie. C. E.	v.	Jenne Van Vale	64
Parkins Jas.	"	M. A. Newman.	211
Puget-Sound Co.	"	G. H. Walley	249.

The People	v.	John Woolpert	1
"	"	Ah. Bow.	2
"	"	W. H. Loryea	6
"	"	J. B. Cheney. et al.	8
"	"	Ah. Bow.	9
"	"	Jim Patton et al.	10
"	"	" "	11
"	"	" "	12.
	"	Jack Barrett.	13.
	"	Nathaniel Kelley.	15
	"	P. J. Peck	16
	"	Ah Recumble.	17
	"	E. L. Davis	19.
"	"	"	20.
"	"	"	21.
	"	Frank. Morgan	22
	"	Wm. Moore	24
	"	M. R. Beckham	25
	"	Geo. Smith et al	26
	"	Wm. Simpson alias.	27
	"	Wm. Jenning.	30
	"	E. L. Davis	31
"	"	"	32
"	"	"	33.
	"	John Lee.	34.
	"	Wm. Harris	35
	"	W. L. Harding	36
	"	W. A. Selen.	37.
	"	John Singer	38
	"	John Lee et al	39.
	"	Jas. Harris	40
	"	Joe Murphy.	42.
	"	S. W. Henry.	43
	"	Hny. Dullea	44
	"	John Evans. et al	46.
	"	John Casey	47.
	"	Wm. Hamilton	48.
	"	Ed. Baker et al	49
	"	Bert Daniels et al	52
	"	Thos. O. Cannon.	52.
	"	Allen Stanfield et al	53.
	"	Joe Evans.	54
	"	Walt Murphy	55
	"	Thos. Stanish	56.
	"	W. J. Jamison	58.

(R.)

Ryan. Jere –	vs. A. J. & J. A. Green –	77.
Rowe. D.	" W. S. Sherman –	161
Riggs J. T. et al	" R. S. Mills et al	191
Rupert Geo –	" W. H. Jennings –	316.

Schell H.	vs Donahue et al –	41.
Strode G. S.	" J. S. Bream et al –	171
Singer G –	" H. Morris	114
Smith N. U.	" R. B. Lucas.	124.
Stevens P. J. –	" Mark Abbot	154
Seeger Chs –	" Chas Colgan	244
Smith R –	" Sing Chung –	243.
Sperling Mark	" N. M. Curran –	316
Smith J. H.	" J. E. Ryan	317.

(T.)

Thomas W. R. –	vs Geo. McCullough –	162

R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Thomas W. R. vs Hon Blucher John	5
" Dunn. J. S. etal – " M. Jensen –	23.

The People – of Cal –		W. H. Semminger –	302.
"	"	Wm Dixon	305.
"	"	John Smith etal.	307
"	"	A. E. Pool.	308.
"	"	H. P. Reese	309.
"	"	John Davis etal	310
"	"	Edward Brown –	311.
"	"	Wm Ramsey. etal –	312
"	"	" "	313.
"	"	E. Simmons –	314.
"	"	Wm Hamilton etal.	318

The People – Page 8 –

" Frank Wiggins	227
" Niels Esperson.	228.
" Andy Davidson	229.
" Ah Sam –	230
" Sam Wah Kee –	231
" Bert Johnson –	232.
" Peter Smith. etal	235 –
" Malcom Cowan etal	236.
" C. Schmidt –.	237.
" Richard Wilson etal.	240
" A. L. Sandt –	241
" David Ward –	242.
" W. J. Martin etal	245 –
" J. A. Johnson –	244.
" David Logan ———	247.
	2,52
" Pierre Vanison etal	252
" A. Crann "	253.
" Wm Bolitho –	254
" E. H. Brown	256.
" Geo. Anderson. etal	257
" Annie Allen "	258
" Wm Simmons	260
" C. H. Brady –	264
" "	265 –
" "	266
" David Glenn –	267.
" Alfred Brown – etal	268.
" John Jensen –	270
" Edward Stuart.	271
" Jack Patterson	272
" Martin Swan –	274
" John Smith.	275 –
" John Smyly –	278.
" Chs. Edwards etal.	279
" Gilbert Simms –	282.
" Geo. Seildemann	283.
" Geo. McPhie	284
" Arthur Jacobs etal.	286
" Ah Joe –	287
" Jno. Fagan etal.	288
" Juan Baptiste etal	293.
" John Rollins –	294

(W.)

Wilson L.K.	vs. L.K. Shafer —	125.
Willis F.	" G. W. Wylie —	142.
Wheeler Wilson —	" S. M. Henry	204
Williams Wm. —	" G. J. Bohanan.	249.
Woodruff B.R.	" Minn Athletic Club.	295 —

"Y"

Yancy S. C. —	vs. Hudnick S. —	291.

The People Page 7 —

"	Wm. Henderson —	175.
"	Jas Lowry — et al	176
"	J. N. Westfall	177.
"	Geo. Blanchard —	178
"	Joseph Deaton	179.
"	H. S. Evans	180
"	Ed. Walters	181
"	A. Cadwell —	182
"	John Leonard et al —	183
"	John Luzer	184
"	E. C. Murrell —	185 —
"	Wm. Pierce	186
"	Ah Hing	187
"	Wm. Pierce —	188
"	John Rockfeller et al —	192.
"	John Bradford —	193.
"	John Lutkins —	194
"	Jas. Dunn —	195 —
"	S. G. Stegall —	196
"	Alfred Moore et al	197
"	L. H. Thompson	198
"	J. A. Webster et al	199
"	H. M. Smith	200
"	L. P. Morring	201
"	M. A. Pool. et al	202.
"	Sam Lewis et al	203.
"	H. Miller	205.
"	G. R. Griffith	206
"	John Robbins	208
"	John Johnson —	210
"	John Robbins —	212
"	J. Meyer	213
"	Louis Hahn	214
"	Geo. Kelly —	215 —
"	J. H. Downey —	217.
"	M. W. Holsback	218
		219.
"	Albert Phelps	220
"	J. H. Smith et al	221
"	James Bethel	222
"	Pete Gally et al	223.
"	P. Le Blanc. —	224
"	Jas. McNi et al	225 —

See. Page

V
W
Y
Z

The People –	v.	Jimmie Sullivan –	60.
"	"	John Doe –	61.
"	"	A. Hyman –	62
"	"	Jack Harris –	63
"	"	D. E. Edmonds –	65
"	"	N. P. Jordy et al.	68
"	"	Phillip Stack	69.
"	"	C. C. Nichols –	70.
"	"	Wm. Gilman Ercil –	72
"	"	J. P. Carroll.	73.
"	"	Thos. P. Nolan	75.
"	"	Joe Butler	76
"	"	Tom Dolly et al	78
"	"	Tom Donnell –	79.
"	"	F. Argala	80
"	"	Joe Butler –	81
"	"	Jim Anderson –	82
"	"	Walter McCarthy –	83
"	"	Ida Thomas.	84
"	"	" "	85.
"	"	C. G. Anderson – et al	86.
"	"	John Kirby –	88
"	"	John Sterling	89
"	"	Frank Turner	90
"	"	W. M. Williams –	92.
"	"	Harry McCray et al –	93
"	"	C. A. Connolly –	94
"	"	James Oakes.	95
"	"	John McNulan et al	96
"	"	Tom Scott – et al	97
"	"	Frank Daly. et al	98.
"	"	Bruno Mejia et al	100
"	"	Wm. Lynch –	101
"		Jose Balanzuela	102
"	"	Antonio Lugo	103.
"	"	Frank Scott	105.
"	"	Wm. Lamartin	106
"	"	Joe Fraly –	107
"	"	R. H. Austin	108
"	"	Mat. Smythe	109
"	"	H. S. Walker	110
"	"	E. B. Lane –	112
"	"	Barry Wilson et al	113.
"	"	B. F. Levy	115
"	"	Robert Lee	117
"	"	Peter Sabretta	118.
The People –	v.	Henry Conway –	119
"	"	Wm. Shafer	120
	"	Lena Gray –	122.
	"	Frank Jones –	123.
	"	C. E. Pierson et al	127
	"	Chas. Lewis	128
	"	Jas. Baker et al	129
	"	John Stuart –	130
	"	Jas. Hawkins et al	132
	"	M. W. Hitchcock.	133.
	"	Thos. Wilson et al	134
	"	John Chinaman	135
	"	Wm. R. Quick	136
	"	Gabriel Ney – et al	137.
	"	May Smith et al	138
	"	Wm. Platt	139.
	"	Thos. Ottinden	140
	"	Ellis Draper –	141
	"	Frank Abbott –	143
	"	E. E. Staggs.	145
	"	J. Sabel –	146
	"	Capt. Powell.	147
	"	Eugene Cooper –	148
	"	Jonathan Achey –	149
	"	S. W. Grigsby.	150
	"	John Sanmuth.	151
	"	Samuel Rentzel	152
	"	John Winters	153
	"	John Sobra	155
	"	John Boyle	156
	"	Bud Appling	157
	"	Dell Campbell.	158
	"	S. Lehman	159.
	"	Mike Bass –	163
	"	Allen Thompson et al	164
	"	Geo. Bates	165
	"	H. C. Fisk et al	166.
	"	W. R. Hampton et al.	167
	"	Fred Vitlina	168
	"	Wm. Wagerman	169
	"	W. R. Jameson	170
	"	Peter Englander	171
	"	Frank Vignola	172
	"	Jonathan Achey.	173
	"	S. W. Grigsby	174

Pages 7 –

No. 369.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California —

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Wolford
Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. C. W. Moore
Refer	26.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant Committed to the Sheriff in default of Bond in the sum of $500.00 to await his Examination — Defendant gave the required Bond. Bond approved and filed —
	"	This cause by consent is set down for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. Oct 4/87 —
	"	Issued Subpoenas for the People & Defendant & given Const. Moore
Oct —	4	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for his Examination — Defendant Examined and Motion of the District Attorney discharged —

E. H. Hill J. P.

2

No. 370

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Bun Defendant

Action Assault with intent to murder

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	10.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const C. H. Walton

No. 371.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Mono State of California

W. L. Gray.
Plaintiff
vs.
H. Schell
Defendant

Action Note of hand

Demand $45.00

S. H. Geis—
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	10.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Wallis
	"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. C. P. Wallis
Oct—	3.	By order of Plaintiff this case is dismissed — J. P. fees paid S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 372.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Mono State of California

P. R. Fanning Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Clayton Defendant

Action Note and account

Demand $31.30/100 and interest

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	11.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walker
"	"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. C. P. Walker
"	15.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file therein on the 15th day of Sept. 1887. and filed
	"	Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed—
	21"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having Expired the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law—
	"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having Expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law— Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff Complaint on file herein—
	"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Thirty and 30/100 dollars the principal sum for and the sum of Four and 98/100 dollars interest thereon and the sum of Thirteen and 10/100 dollars Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in Gold Coin of the United States—
	"	Judgment for the Principal sum for— 31.30.
	"	" " Interest thereon— 4.98
	"	" " Justices fees— 8.50
	"	" " Constables " — 4.60
	"	Total amount of judgment— $48.38

S. H. Hill J.P.

This cause is dismissed by ord of Plaintiff— Oct 13th 1887. S. H. Hill J.P.

Paid by C. P. Walker Oct 3. 1887. $35.25— the Principal sum for—

No. 373.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Kern State of California

W. R. Thomas Plaintiff

vs.

John Wind Blade Defendant

Action Account

Demand 15\frac{00}{100}$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Wheaton & Short

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	11.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walters
	"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Walters.
Oct.	3.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 3rd day of Oct 1887 and filed.
		Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed
"	7.	Defendant files his demurrer to the Plaintiff complaint on file herein
	"	Defendant enters a general denial to all the allegations in Plaintiff Complaint.
1888. Jan.	5.	This cause set for trial at 9 O'C. A.M. January 28th 1888
"	28.	11 O'C A.M. This cause set for trial at 9 O'C. A.M. February 13th 1888
Feb.	13.	This cause Continued indefinitely Plaintiff attorney Sick

No. 374 —

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
W. H. Dwyer
Defendant

Action Embezzlement

Demand $

G. L. Gracy
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	10.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. J. A. Barker
Ok	12.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant held for his appearance for examination in the sum of $500.00 — Bond approved and filed —
	13.	This Cause is set down for trial or examination at 10 O.C. A.M. Sept 16th 1887 —
"	16.	10 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and asked for a half hour in which to ask a continuance, time allowed —
"		11 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court argued and submitted his affidavit for a Continuance — Now by Consent of Parties this Cause is Continued until 1 O.C. P.M. Sept 19th 1887 —
	19th	10 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — Cause Continued until 4 O.C. P.M. —
"		4 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court — Cause Continued until 7 O.C. P.M. —
"		7 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court — Cause Continued until 9 O.C. A.M. Sept 20th 1887 —
	20th	9 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and ready for Examination. A. J. Alley
"		Sworn and examined as a witness by the People. Exhibits A & B filed in evidence. Gus Anderson Sworn and Examined as a witness by the People — People Closed — Defendant Moved the Court to discharge the defendant upon the grounds that the evidence was insufficient to Convict — Motion argued and submitted. The Court overruled said Motion Defendant Excepts — Defense waived the introduction of any testimony — Cause submitted — The Court having fully considered this matter and it appearing to me that the offense charged in the Complaint on file herein has been Committed and that there is sufficient cause to believe the above named W. H. Dwyer guilty thereof. I order that he be held to answer to the same, and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo — And that he be admitted to bail in the sum of $500.00 and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo until he give such bail — Bond filed and approved — S. H. Hill J.P. Ex officio Magistrate

No. 375.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Geo. A. Davis —
Plaintiff

vs.

Hague & St. John —
Defendant

Action Account —

Demand $213.15 —

Nours & Terry
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATÉ. 1889.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	12.	Complaint filed —
1888. June	22.	Summons issued and given Plaintiffs attorney —
	29.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendants together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 22. & 29th days of June 1888 and filed —
	"	In this action the defendant St John having been regularly served on the 22nd day of June 1888 with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
July	2.	Now comes the defendant Hague in proper person and demurs each and every allegation in the Plaintiffs Complaint upon the grounds that said Complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action — "

No. 376

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State - of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
J. B. Clang & C. L. Davis
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	12"	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton -
	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises - Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for Sentence -
	"	Defendants allowed to leave the town and go to work
		S. H. Hill.

No. 377

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Ah Bow Defendant

Action Murder

Demand $

R. B. Terry Attorney for Plaintiff

W. D. Grady Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	12.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton —
" Ret'd	17.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Cause set for Examination at 11. O.C. A.M. September 26th 1887. Defendant Committed to the Sheriff of Fresno County to await his examination
"		Issued Subpoena for the People & gave Const. J. D. Morgan
	21.	Issued Subpoena for the Defendant & gave Const. C. P. Walton
	24.	By Consent of Parties this Cause is Continued until 2. O.C. P.M. this day —
"		2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and ready for Examination Louis Leach W. H. Givens — F. L. Walton. and H. B. Gillespie — Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the Prosecution the prosecution Rests — Defense waived the Examination of any witness — Cause Submitted — The Court having fully considered the same — and it appearing to me that the offense mentioned in the complaint on file herein has been Committed and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named Ah Bow guilty thereof — I order that he be held to answer to the same — and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno — And he is hereby Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno —

J. H. Hill J.P.
Ex officio Magistrate

No. 378.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Tom Patterson & John Moore & Thomas Kelley. Defendant

Action Battery-

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept Octo	12.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const- C.P. Walton-

No. 379.

In the Justices Court of 3rd Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Action Assault with intent to murder

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Thos. Patterson & John Moore and Thomas Kelly –
Defendants

Demand $

W. D. Tupper
Attorney for Plaintiff

G. G. Goucher
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept	13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton –
Rpw	17.	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises – Cause set down for trial Sept – 24th 1887 – at 10. O.C. A.M. – Defendants Committed to the Sheriff of Fresno County to await their Examination – and admitted to bail in the Sum of $2000.00 each – Issued Subpoenas for the Prosecution and the defense –
"	24.	10. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court – Cause continued until 1. O.C. P.M –
"		1. O.C. P.M – Defendants in Court – and say they are ready to proceed with the Examination. Henry Hely and J. R. Scarp Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution – By motion and Consent of the Defendants this Cause is Continued until 10. O.C. A.M. Sept – 27th 1887 –
	27.	10. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court – and ready to proceed with the Examination Wm. A. Ledbetter. C. P. Walton Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People the Coat of Defendant John Moore offered in evidence The Court took a recess until 2. O.C. P.M. this day –
"		2. O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court – and ready to proceed – Ledbetter recalled – Dan Maloney and H. E. Sims Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the People – Prosecution Closed –
"		On Motion of the defense – Thomas Patterson is discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict – Court adjourned until 9. O.C. A.M. – Sept – 28th 1887 –
"		9. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court – and ready to proceed with the Examination
"		John Moore P. J. Peck – Thomas Kelly. Mamie Kelly & W. J. Patterson. Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Defense – Court took a recess until 1. O.C. P.M. this day –
"		1. O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court – Defense Closed – Cause argued and Submitted – The Court took the same under advisement until 1. O.C. P.M. Sept – 29th 1887 –
	29.	1. O.C. P.M Defendants in Court – This being the time fixed for the findings of the Court in this matter – The Court having fully considered the same – and it appearing to me that

See Page 13th

No. 3811.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno - State of California

Action Search Warrant - Petit Larcy

The People of California - Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Patterson - Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept	13.	Complaint and affidavit filed Search warrant issued and given C. P. Walker - Case dismissed S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 381

In the Justices Court of 3 Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Jack Barrett Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept	13	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept Const J. S. Morgan
Paid	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads guilty — and waived the legal time for sentence —
	"	Defendant fined and paid $25.00 — Paid Dept Const J. S. Morgan $21.10 S. H. Hill J. P. —
See Page 11 —		the offense mentioned in the Complaint on file herein has been Committed and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named John Moore and Thomas Kelly guilty thereof — & order that they be held to answer to the same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and that they be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Thousand dollars each of them — and are Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno until they give such bail — S. H. Hill J. P. & Ex officio Magistrate —
		the offense of Robbery" as follows towit: "That on the 12th day of September 1887 — at and in the County of Fresno State of California the above named defendants Thomas Kelly and John Moore did willfully unlawfully and feloniously take forty dollars in money and a watch and Chain the personal property of Henry Haly in the possession of Henry Haly. from his possession and against his will and by means of force and violence by them then and there used upon the person of Henry Haly" has been Committed. and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named Thomas Kelly and John Moore guilty thereof — & order that they be held to answer to the same, and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno. and that they be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Thousand dollars each of them. and are Committed to the Sheriff of the County Fresno until they give such bail. — This Sept 30th 1887 — S. H. Hill J. P. & Ex officio Magistrate

No. 3 8 2.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept- ~~Sept~~ 14.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. J. B. Morgan

No. 383.

In the Justices Court of 3 Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Nathaniel Rollens
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept	15th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton —
[illegible]	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence — Defendant allowed to leave the town —
		D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 384

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
P. Z. Peck
Defendant

Action Assault—

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept	15.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walker—

No. 385

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Kern State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Antone Riemuller Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	15.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
"	16.	Now comes the party injured before the Court & before the trial of this action and acknowledges that he has received satisfaction for the injury done him and on payment of the Costs incurred It is ordered that all proceedings is to be stayed upon the prosecution and the defendant to be discharged therefrom
Paid "		

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 384.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

W. S. Dans
Plaintiff
vs.
A. P. Boyd
Defendant

Action account -

Demand $17.00/100

J. G. Rhodes
Attorney for Plaintiff

E. B. Kirby -
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	15.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walter -
Oct.	19.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 17th day of October 1887 at the County of Stanislaus State of California and filed this day -
Nov	5.	Now comes the defendant by his attorney E. B. Kirby and files demurrer to the Plaintiff Complaint herein that the said Complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action -
	18th	Demurrer withdrawn - By Def. - - Now comes the defendant by his attorney E. B. Kirby - and files answer denying each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff Complaint contained - This cause set down for trial Nov 28th 1887 at 11 o'c. A.M. -
	28.	11 O.C. A.M. Parties in Court by their respective attorneys and after having waited more than one full hour for the appearance of the Plaintiff and said Plaintiff having failed to appear in person. This cause is therefore dismissed without prejudice to said Plaintiff S. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 387.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

E. B. Davis

Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	16.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
[illegible]	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads not guilty.
	"	Defendant examined and discharged upon the grounds that evidence is insufficient to convict.
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 388.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
E. B. Davis
Defendant

Action Petit Larceny.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1889		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	16.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walter.
prlm.	21.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads not guilty — Defendant Examined and discharged upon the grounds that the evidence fails to be sufficient to commit E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 389.

In the Justices Court of Bo. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
E. B. Davis
Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	16.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton –
"	17.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises – The Cause set down for Examination at 11 O.C. A.M. Sept. 22. 1887 – Defendant Committed in default of Bond to the Sheriff of Fresno County to await his Examination –
Refd "		
	21.	Issued Subpoena for the People and gave Const. Walton
	22.	Now comes the District Attorney and moves the Court to discharge the defendant the evidence being insufficient to convict – Defendant discharged –
		J H Hall J.P.

No. 391.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Frank Fargan-
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept-	18	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman G. W. Mc[illegible]
" paid	19.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence - Defendant fined and paid $5.50 — Paid Officer $2.50 S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 391.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Mono State of California

J. Z. Dunn et al. — Plaintiffs

vs.

M. Jensen — Defendant

Action Contract — for Commission —

Demand $300.00/100 By Consent — $299.99 —

Church & Carey — Attorney for Plaintiff

Wheeler & Stuart — Attorneys for Defendant

DATE. 1887. PROCEEDINGS.

Sept. 19. Complaint filed Summons issued and given Const. C. P. Wallace

" 20. Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on 19th day of September 1887. and filed —

" " Defendant files his demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein.

" 21. 11. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court — demurrer taken up argued submitted and overruled Defendant Excepts —

By consent of Parties the demand in Plaintiffs Complaint is Changed from $300.00 to $299.99 — being within the jurisdiction of the Court —

24. Defendant filed his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein —

Oct. 5th By consent this Cause is set down for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. Oct 6th 1887 —

6 11. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court and waive trial by Jury — Parties ready for trial. J. Z. Dunn sworn and Examined as witness for the Plaintiffs Exhibits A & B submitted and filed in evidence — A. V. Smith sworn and examined as witness for the Plaintiff — Plaintiffs case closed — Wm. Haskell and S. M. Haskell and M. Jensen — as witnesses for the defendant — Court took a recess until 2. O.C. P.M. this day —

" 2. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court and ready to proceed — M. Jensen recalled by the defense — Exhibit C. filed in evidence — Cause Closed argued and submitted — The Court took the same under advisement — without day

8 1. O.C. P.M. The Court having fully Considered this Matter.

" Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is Ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiffs do have and recover of and from the Defendant a judgment in this action for the sum of Two Hundred and Ninety Nine and 99/100 Dollars the amount sued for and the further sum of Nine and 25/100 Costs necessarily Expended in the prosecution of this action in lawful Money of the United States —

" Judgment for the Principal Sum for —	299.99.
" " " Justices fees —	7.25
" " " Constable "	2.00
" Total amount of judgment —	$.309.24

S. H. Hill J.P. —

Oct 11th 1887 — Defendants filed his notice and undertaking to the Superior Court — Defendant — allowed a stay of proceedings for ten days

No. 392.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Moon alias William Moon — Defendant

Action Embezzlement —

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	19.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. C. W. Swain
" Davis	22.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises —
"		Now comes the party injured before the Court before the trial of this action and acknowledges that he has received Satisfaction for the injury done him - and on payment of the Costs incurred - I order all proceedings to be stayed upon the prosecution and the defendant to be discharged therefrom —

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 393.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
M. R. Bickner
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	19th	Complaint filed Warrant Issued & given Dept Const J. B. Morgan

No. 394.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Geo. Smitz Chs. Nelson. Harry Franklin
Geo. Ralph. and Mike Galvin
Defendants –

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept	20.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton –
" Ret'd	21st	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises – Defendants plead Not guilty – as Charged –
"		Defendants examined and acquitted upon the grounds that the Defendants are not under law vagrants having means of support –

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 395

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Wm Simpson E. Williams and
James Henry
Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	20th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. Walton —
"	21st	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants plead not guilty.
Rep. "		Defendant Examined and discharged upon the grounds that the Evidence is insufficient to convict —
		S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 396.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

John B. Mumfelry Plaintiff vs. H. H. Bartlett Defendant	Action Account Demand $31. 35/100 C. G. Sayle Attorney for Plaintiff J. G. Rhodes Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	21st	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
"		Affidavit for attachment made and filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
"		Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 21st day of September 1887. and filed —
"	27th	Now comes the Defendant by his attorney J. G. Rhodes, and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff Complaint contained —
Oct.	8.	This case is dismissed having been paid in full —

E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 397.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

A S Dunkin

Plaintiff

vs.

Chas Frain

Defendant

Action Account

Demand $15 10/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept	21st	Complaint filed

No. 398.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Mono State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
William Doring Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	22.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Depty Const J. S. Morgan
" Paid	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant plead guilty and waived the legal time for Sentence —
	"	Defendant fined five Dollars and allowed to go out in the time to raise the fine — since [illegible]

S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 399.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Marin State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

E. S. Davis Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

W. B. Bupper Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	22.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
Refer "	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads not guilty — Cause set down for trial at 9 O.C. P.M. Sept. 23rd 1887. Defendant demands trial by jury. Venire issued —
	23.	9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court. Venire and Subpoena returned and filed — Now comes the Defendant and asks to withdraw his plea of not guilty and to plead guilty — Defendant asked to name the time for sentence and to be sentenced now. The Court ordered that defendant appear for sentence at 2. O.C. P.M. Sept. 23rd 1887 —
	"	2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court. This being the time fixed for sentence — Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid — It is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you E. S. Davis be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $1.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Marin and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine — J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 400.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
E. L. Davis
Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

W. D. Tupper Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept	22.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walker
[illegible]	"	Now comes the defendant and is informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads not guilty as charged — Defendant committed to the Sheriff of Fresno County to await his trial —
"	23.	9. O'C A.M. Defendant in Court. Now comes the District attorney and moves the Court to dismiss this action against the defendant in furtherance of justice and upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict — The Court so ordered —

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 401.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

E. S. Davis Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

W. D. Tupper Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept—	22.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton —
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law defendant pleads not guilty as charged Defendant committed to the Sheriff of Fresno County to await his trial —
	23.	9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — Now Comes the District attorney and Moves the Court to dismiss this action against the defendant in furtherance of Justice and upon the grounds that the Evidence is insufficient to Convict — The Court so ordered —
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 402.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe — Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept	R.W. 22.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walker

No. 403.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Wm. Hanson – John Burks, G. G. Thompson
J. Walsh – Jack Regan, & Wm. Riley
Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1797.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton –
Refd	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises – Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence –
	"	Defendants allowed at once to leave the town – Sept –
		S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 444

In the Justices Court of Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
W. S. Harding Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	26.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. — J. S. Morgan
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads guilty, and waives the legal time for sentence — Defendant allowed to leave the town — pross. with —
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 405

In the Justices Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno - State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Wm A Dehn

Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept	Rep. 27.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const C. P. Walton

No. 416.

In the Justices Court of Fresno Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Luger

Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. Octr	27.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walker –

No. 417

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe and Richard Roe Defendants

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept-	Rept 11 27.	Complaint- filed Warrant- issued & given Const- C. P. Wallis.

No. 418.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

James Hines

Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept.	27.	Complaint filed Warrant Issued & given Dept. Const. C. W. Frame
	28.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads not guilty — and held for trial in the sum of $50." Bond approved and filed —
Oct.	1st.	Defendant in Court and asks to withdraw his plea of not guilty and to plead guilty — Defendant waives the legal time for sentence —
		Defendant fined and Paid Six and 50/100 dollars. Paid Costs $\$2^{50}$
		E. H. Webb J. P.

No. 4119.

In the Justices Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno State of California

H. Schell

Plaintiff

vs.

Donahoe Emmons & Co—

Defendants

Action Account—

Demand $19.75

E. E. Calhoun

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept	28	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Walton.
Oct	3.	Now comes Emmons & Co— and demurs to Plaintiffs complaint on file herein. and for cause of demurrer alleges— That said Complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action—

No. 410

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Joe Manly Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept	29.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const C. P. Walton
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant plead guilty. and waived the legal time for sentence — Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Joe. Manly be and you are hereby fined in the sum of five dollars and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine —
Repd.		E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 411.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
S. W. Henry
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

W. D. Tupper
Attorney for Plaintiff

W. D. Grady & E. E. Calhoun
Attorneys for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept	29.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman W. H. Harris
Sept "	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant held to answer for trial in the sum of $50.00 — Bond approved and filed —
	"	This Cause is set down for trial at 1. O.C. P.M. Sept. 30th 1887 — Issued Subpoena and venire for the County in Cause —
	30.	1. O.C. P.M. venire and subpoena returned and filed — This cause is Continued until 1. O.C. P.M. October 1st 1887 — The Jurors and witnesses instructed by the Court to be in Court at said day and hour — Issued Subpoena for the prosecuting witness —
Oct	1.	1. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial
	"	Jurors sworn to answer questions as to qualification — after which the following Jurors by Consent of Parties were sworn to try the Cause towit: John Wheeler — Frank Noble. J. M. Luce. O. H. Gilwood and Wm. Shaw —
	"	Chs. McGloflin — Dr. Leadruf — C. P. Homes. A. L. Smith. J. A. Simpson G. W. McDowell. J. H. Wharton — B. G. Rose & J. A. Pollard were sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution — Prosecution Rests. S. W. Henry. and H. L. Bachman — Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the defense — Defense Closed — Cause argued and Submitted to the Jury for their deliberation and verdict — after a short retirement the Jury were returned in to Court — with the following verdict. "by the presiding officer of this Court" towit: We the Jurors in this Case find Henry guilty — F. C. Noble foreman —
	"	The Court ordered that the defendant appear for Sentence at 1. O.C. P.M. October 3. 1887 —
	3.	1. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court. On Motion of Defendant his Sentence is hereby deferred until 10. O.C. A.M. Oct 4th 1887 —
"	4	10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court. This being the time fixed for Sentence — Defendant fined and Paid Twenty-five dollars — Paid County.

S. H. Hill — J.P.

No. 412.

In the Justices Court of 3 Township,

County of Inyo State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Henry Sullivan Defendant

Action Assault with a deadly weapon

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. Rept.	29	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given the affiant —
Dec.	28.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant held for his appearance for Examination in the sum of $500.00 Bond approved and filed —
1888. Jan.	4 —	This Cause set down for Examination at 2. O.C. P.M. Jan 13th 1888 —
	5	Issued Subpoenas for the People and defendant —
	16.	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — Cause Continued until 11. O.C. A.M. Feb. 9th 1888. — Issued Subpoena for Defendant —
Feb.	9.	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and ready for Examination — — Joe Barnett — J. M. Cormack, Harry Lane and J. L. Reed — Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People — Prosecution Closed — Henry Sullivan and H. A. Anderson Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the Defense Cause Closed argued and Submitted — The Court after having fully considered the Same — and it appearing to me that the offense of an assault with a deadly weapon upon the person of one Joseph Barnett — has been Committed and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named defendant Henry Sullivan Guilty thereof I order that he be held to answer the same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo and that he be admitted to bail in the Sum of Five Hundred ($500.00) Dollars — and he is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo until he give such bail — S. H. Hill — J. P. Dated this Feb 9th 1888 —

No. 413.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
John Doe and Richd Roe Defendants

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept	Retd 29.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. P. L. Walton

No. 414.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Mono State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Evers Fred. Ingles and Chs. Hill —
Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	1st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Dept. Const. E H Rice
Refd	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence —
	"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense then you John Evers Fred Ingles and Chas Hill be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Mono and that by said Sheriff that you and each of you be imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of five days each of you — G. H. Hill J.P.

No. 415

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Carey
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	1st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
Paid	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant plead guilty and waived the legal time for Sentence —
	"	Defendant fined and paid $5.00 — Paid Court $2.50 —
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 416

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno - State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Wm. Hamilton
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

R. B. Terry
Attorney for Plaintiff

W H Sayre
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887 PROCEEDINGS.

Oct. 1st Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. J. S. Walton.
" Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads not guilty.
RW Defendant held for his appearance for trial in the sum of One Hundred dollars Bond approved and filed -
5th This cause set down for trial at 9. O.C. A.M. Oct. 7th 1887 - Issued Subpoena and service for and gave Const.
7. 9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial. Jury sworn to answer questions as to qualification after selected by consent of Parties the following jury were sworn to try the cause towit: John Welsh - John Sues - Jas. Stetham - J. B. Apsling - John Hirsch. Ned Newell. J. P. Truce -
McGee - Vincent - Bread and Ross. Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the People - Prosecution closed -
Hamilton and Jourdan - Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the Defendant - Defense closed - Cause argued and submitted to the jury for their deliberation and verdict. - The jury after absent - retirement were returned in to Court - by the proper officer of this Court with the following verdict "Fresno Oct. 7th 1887. We the jury find the defendant guilty. J. P. Truce foreman -
" Now comes the defendant and waives the legal time for sentence and asks to be sentenced now -
Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Wm. Hamilton be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $20.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine.

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 417.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ed. Baker, Thos. A Scott and Lee Johnson
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	1.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton -
Ref.	3.	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises - Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence -
	"	Defendants permitted to at once leave the town -

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 418.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Bert Dimenter Henry Segeforme John Burks & Joseph Green Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	1st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walker
"	3.	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises – Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence –
Refer "		
"		Defendants permitted to at once leave the town –

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 419.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

S. Duquerne Plaintiff
vs.
R. E. Carlton Defendant

Action Account —

Demand $29.15/100 —

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	1st.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
	"	affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
	10.	This cause by order of Plaintiff is hereby dismissed —

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 420.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Thos. O'Connor
Defendant

Action Battery -

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	1st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman. McDonald
	3.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
Paid		and of his rights in the premises - Defendant plead guilty
		and waived the legal time for sentence -
	"	Defendant fined and Paid ~~Ten~~ $8.50 Dollars — Paid Pol. $2.50 -
		Paid J.P. $3.00 Paid County $4.50 — D. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 421

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Allen Stanforth and
W. D. Barry
Defendants

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	2.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman McDowell –
Paid	3.	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises – Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence –
	"	Defendants fined and paid $\$5^{50}$ each of them and were thereupon discharged – Paid Policeman $\$5^{00}$ Paid J.P. $\$6^{00}$
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 422.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs
Joseph Enos Jr
Defendant

Action Attempt to Rape

Demand $

R. B. Ivey
Attorney for Plaintiff

C. G. Sayle
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	7.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton
Refer.	3.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant held for Examination in the sum of $500. Bond approved and filed—
	8"	This Cause set down for Examination at 11. O.C. A.M. Oct. 11th 1887.
	10.	Issued Subpoena for the People and defendant—
	11.	10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for his Examination. Witnesses sworn and put under the Rule of the Court. Jennie Radley and Mary Radley Examined as witnesses for the People after which the People closed. Defendant waived the Examination of any witnesses in his defense. Cause argued and submitted. The Court after having fully considered the same and it appearing that the offense charged in the Complaint on file herein to wit: an attempt to commit the crime of rape on the person of one Jennie Radley has been committed and that there is sufficient cause to believe the above named Joe Enos Jr. guilty thereof. I order that he be held to answer to the same and committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and that he be admitted to bail in the sum of One thousand dollars and is committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno until he give such bail. Bond filed and approved—

S. H. Hill J.P.
Ex-officio Magistrate.

No. 423-

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Walter Murphy
Defendant

Action For Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	3.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton -
"	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
Rept		and of his rights in the premises - Defendant plead guilty -
		and waive the legal time for sentence -
		Defendant allowed at once to leave the town -
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 424.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Doe. alias
Thomas Standee
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1889		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	3.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
	Pd 5/00	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant plead guilty - And waived the legal time for sentence - Defendant fined five dollars and 5/100 and allowed five days in which to pay said fine -
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 425

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Geo Bernhard Plaintiff

vs.

Bernhard & Walle Defendant

Action Account

Demand $12.^{40}

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	3.	Complaint filed

No. 426.

In the Justices Court of 3rd Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
K. K. Jamison
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct paid	3.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman McInnes
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads Not guilty
	"	Now comes the defendant and asks to withdraw his plea of Not guilty and to plead guilty — and waives the legal time for sentence —
		Defendant fined and Paid $5.00 Paid Policeman $2.50
		J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 427.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

P. R. Fanning Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs Elizabeth Ellis Defendant

Action account

Demand $ 8.25/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	3.	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Const. C. R. Walton affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. C. R. Walton -
Nov	8.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 7th day of November 1887 and filed -
	"	Writ of attachment executed returned and filed -
	14.	This case settled in full and dismissed -

S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 428.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno - State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Jennie Sullivan Defendant

Action Assault to Rob

Demand $

W. D. Grady
Attorney for Plaintiff

G. H. Sayre
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887 PROCEEDINGS.

Oct 5. Complaint filed Warrant issued given Dep. Const. C. W. Swain

Ref

4. Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against her and of her rights in the premises - Defendant deposited in Court the sum of $50.00 for her appearance of Examination

11. This cause is set down for trial at 1. O.C. P.M. Oct. 12th 1887. Issued Subpoena for the People and defendant.

12. 1. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court, Cause Continued until 1. O.C. P.M. Oct 14th 1887.

14. 1. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court - Defendant says She is ready for trial - or Examination [illegible] - Jacob Malecarian - sworn and Examined as a witness for the Prosecution. People Closed. G. Harkam sworn as Interpreter -

" Jennie Sullivan, Alice Stanly - and Ben Wilcox sworn and Examined as witnesses for the defense - Defense Closed - Jacob Malecarian - recalled in rebuttal by the People - Cause argued and Submitted - The Court took the same under advisement - until 1. O.C. P.M. Oct 21st 1887 -

" 21st 1. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court -. This being the hour fixed for the finding of the Court in this action - It appearing to me that the offense of Robbery has been committed to wit: by Snatching one dollar and one half in silver coin from the person in and in the hand of one Jacob Merlesmic - the owner thereof" has been committed and that there is sufficient cause to believe the above named defendant Jennie Sullivan guilty thereof. I order that She be held to answer to the same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno - and that She be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Hundred dollars - and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno until She give such Bail - This 21st day of Oct. 1887 -

S. H. Hill J.P.
& Ex officio Magistrate

No. 429.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe alias
P. H. McLean —
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1877		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct —	5.	Complaint filed Warrant issued [illegible] Const C. W. [illegible]
	13"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads not guilty
"	19.	Now comes the defendant and asks to withdraw his plea of not guilty and to plead guilty as charged and to waive the legal time for sentence — Defendant fined and paid $\$5^{50}$ — Paid Court $\$2^{50}$ —

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 4311.

In the Justices Court of 3 Township,

County of Fresno. State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

A Hyman Defendant

Action Batty-

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct-	4.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const: C. P. Walker-
paid	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant plead guilty and waived the legal time for sentence- Defendant fined & paid $5.00 — Paid Const- $2.50
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 431

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Jack Harris Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	4.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const C. P. Wallis
Refd	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads not guilty Cause examined — after which it is ordered that the Defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the Evidence is insufficient to convict
"		D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 432.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Michael O. Laughlin Plaintiff
vs.
M. Quinlan
Defendant

Action Note of hand

Demand $60 00/100

Griffin & Griffin Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	4.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walker
	6.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 5th day of Oct 1887. and filed
"	11.	Now comes the defendant in person and files an answer denying each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff Complaint. Continuance —
"		This Cause is dismissed by order of the Plaintiff S. H. Hill

No. 433.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
S. E. Edwards
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	4.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
Paid	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence —
		Defendant fined and paid five dollars — Paid Cost $2.50 —
		S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 434.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Kern State of California

C. E. Proctor Plaintiff	Action Account.
vs.	
Mrs Jennie V. Van Vale	Demand $222.64/100
	Attorney for Plaintiff
Defendant	Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	5.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Dept. Const. J. B. Morgan
	"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Dept. Const. J. B. Morgan

No. 435

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma State of California

Manuel V. Gaspar Plaintiff

vs.

Pedro Sarmient

Defendant

Action Account — for labor

Demand $150 00/100

Church & Carey — Attorneys for Plaintiff

C. G. Doyle Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct—	5.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const— C. P. Walker
	6.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 5th day of October 1887. and filed—
	8.	Affidavit and Notice to dismiss action— —
	10	" " " of Motion of C. G. Doyle to dismiss— —
	.	Motion argued and submitted— The court took the same under advisement— until 10. o'c A.M. Oct-11th 1887—
	"	Defendant files his answer and Cross-Complaint—
	"	" " " Offer to allow judgment—
	12.	Cause dismissed by act of Plaintiff

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 436.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
N. P. Frost & Wm. Keaton Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	5th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
Rept	6.	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead not guilty Cause set down for trial at 10. o'c. A.M. Oct 8th 1887 Issued Subpoena for the People—
.	8	Defendants in Court — Witnesses sworn and Examined pro and con — Cause Closed, the Court after having fully considered the same orders that the defendants be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence fails to establish any malicious intent—
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 437

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe alias Phillip Stack Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	7	Complaint filed Warrant issued by the Court - C. P. Walter
Rept	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence - Defendant allowed to leave the town at once - J. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 438.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
C. C. Nichols Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	7"	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walker
	8.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
Ref'd.		and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads guilty
		and waives the legal time for sentence.
		Defendant fined and paid $5.00 — Paid Cost $2.50 —
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 439.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

S. E. Niem – Plaintiff	Action Damages –
vs.	Demand $200.00/100
Manuel Silva Defendant	Wharton & Short – Attorneys for Plaintiff
	Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	7.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const- C. P. Walton.
	8.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 7th day of Oct 1887. and filed at B. Township –
	"	By order of Plaintiff this cause is dismissed –
		S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 449.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno. State of California

Action Vagrancy.

Wm. Niland. John Green - John Jackson - Thos. Williams -
Wm. Lee - Jas. Donovan - Thomas Wilks. - Bill Williams.
Chas. Cain. Walter Wells. Frank Gray - John Hagan -
Wm. Hagan - Wm. Russell -

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Oct. 7. Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walker

8. Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law Defendants plead guilty and waived the legal time for sentence —

Rep. " Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Wm. Niland John Green - John Jackson Thos. Williams Wm. Lee Jas. Donovan Thos. Wilks - John Gibbons and Wm. Russell - be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno - and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of Fresno County - for a period of three days each of you - And that Bill Williams Chas. Cain. Walter Wells. Frank Gray and John Hagan - be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of two days each of you -

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 441

In the Justices Court of 3 Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Action Misdemeanor

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
J. P. Carroll
Defendant

Demand $

Terry & Griffin
Attorney for Plaintiff

E. B. Edwards
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	8th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton
Depr.	10.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant held for his appearance for Examination in the Sum of One Hundred Dollars. Bond approved and filed.
	"	Cause Set for Examination at 10. O.C. A.M. Oct 20th 1887.
	14.	Issued Subpoena for the People & gave Const. C. P. Walton.
	20th	10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court. Parties ready for the Examination. Henry Breman, G. G. Goucher, W. M. Hughes, Wm. Shanklin and S. S. Terry, J. F. Wharton and A. Smith. Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution. Closed by the People. G. G. Goucher and Dan Maloney. Examined for the defense as witnesses. Defense closed. Cause closed. Argued and Submitted. The Court after having fully Considered this Matter and it appearing to me that the offense Charged in the Complaint towit: Carrying on and Conducting for money a certain banking game played with a certain device towit: a wheel marked with numbers and colors commonly known as the Wheel of Fortune has been Committed and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named defendant guilty thereof. I order that he be held to answer to the Same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and that he be admitted to bail in the Sum of Two Hundred dollars and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno until he give such bail. This Oct 21st 1887. S. H. Hill J.P. & Ex officio Magistrate.

No. 442.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 8th 4	Complaint filed Warrant Issued & given Dept. Const. J. L. Walton.

No. 443

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Mono State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Thos. P. Nolan Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct:	9.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dep. Const. J. H. Ries on
Paid	11.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence. Defendant fined and paid $5.00. Paid Costs $2.00.
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 444.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Joe Brothers Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. R. Walker.
Pd.	″	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant plead guilty and waived the legal time for Sentence — Defendant allowed to go to work for Luke Shelly —
		S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 445.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Kern State of California

Jere Ryan Plaintiff

vs.

A. J. & J. A. Green Defendant

Action Account

Demand $14 75/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	10th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walton. Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
1888 Jan	13	This cause is hereby credited with the sum of $21 25/100 being in full of the Principal and Justice fees S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 446.

In the Justices Court of 3d Township,

County of Mono. State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Guy Dickles - John Davis
Ed. Miller and Joseph Green
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton
Rept "	11.	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises - Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence - Defendants allowed to leave the town at once -
		S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 447

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Thos. Dunnate
Defendant

Action Disturbing the peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887 PROCEEDINGS.

Oct. 11. Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton —

13. Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads not guilty — Cause set down for trial at 1. O.C. P.M. Oct 15th 1887 — Issued venire and subpoenas —

Paid

15. 1. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court — venire and subpoena returned and filed Parties ready to proceed with the trial — Jurors sworn to answer questions as to qualification after which the following jurors were sworn to try the cause towit; D. M. Brock — J. W. Johnson — D. M. Hadsell — C. W. DeLong — J. A. Cole P. Bowditch — H. Rauche — E. S. Merriam — —
Anna Wood — sworn and examined as witness for the Prosecution — after which the People closed —
Frank Butler Jim Crum — Tim Dennett. Joe Buckman — sworn and examined as witnesses for the defense — Defense closed — and Cause submitted to the jury for their deliberation and verdict — The jury after a short retirement were returned into Court by the proper officer of this Court with the following verdict towit: Fresno Oct. 15th 1887. We the jury in this case find defendant guilty —
E. S. Merriam Foreman —
The Court ordered that the defendant appear for sentence at 10. O.C. A.M. Oct 17th 1887 —

17. 10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — and at the request of the defendant the sentence of said defendant is hereby continued until 4. O.C. P.M. Oct 17th 1887 —

" 4. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court — this being the hour fixed for sentence — Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Thomas Dunnat be and you are hereby fined in the sum of Twenty dollars — Defendant at once paid said fine and therefore discharged

Paid Const. C. P. Walton — $9.50 — Paid J.P. — $4.00 — Paid County $6.50 —

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 448.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Fernand Agala Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	11	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walker
Paid	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads guilty and waiving the legal time for sentence —
	"	Defendant fined and paid $5.00 — Paid Const. $2.00

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 449.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Joe – Butters Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walker
Rep'd	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises – Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence – Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Joe Butters be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $21.00 – and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine – E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 452.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Jim Anderson. Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct:	11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given C. P. Walton
Repd	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant pleads guilty — and waives the legal time for sentence — Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Jas. Anderson be and you are hereby fined in the sum of five and 50/100 dollars — and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County jail of said County in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine — G. H. Hill J. P.

No. 457

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Walter McCuskey Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

C. G. Sayle Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept Const J. B. Morgan
Paid	12.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Now comes the party injured before the trial of this action and acknowledges that he has received satisfaction for the injury done him - and on payment of the cost accrued Order that the defendant be and he is hereby discharged J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 452.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Ida Thomas— Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	11.	Complaint and affidavit made and filed for a Search Warrant agan Dept. Const. J. S. Morgan—

No. 453 —

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno — State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Ida Thomas — Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	12.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. J. B. Morgan
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against her
Ackd "		and of her rights in the premises — Defendant pleads not guilty —
		Cause set down for trial at 1. O'c. P.M. Oct 13th 1887 —
		Issued Subpoena for the People and defendant —
	13.	1. O'c. P.M. Defendant in Court sworn and Subpoenas returned & filed
		Parties ready for trial — Jurors empanneled and sworn
		witness sworn and examined pro and con — Cause
		argued and submitted to the jury for their deliberation
		and verdict — Jury returned into Court with verdict of
		Not guilty — Jurors and defendant discharged —
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 454.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
C. G. Anderson and
Geo. Anderson
Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const C. P. Walton —
	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them
pd		and of their rights in the premises — Defendant held for
		their appearance for Examination in the sum of $500.00
		each of them — Bonds approved and filed —
	25.	This cause dismissed on motion of the District Atty.
		D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 455

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

W. L. Gray
Plaintiff

vs.

E. J. Griffith and Geo. Campbell
Defendants

Action Due Bill

Demand $ 230^{00}

W. L. Gray
Attorney for Plaintiff

Wharton & Short
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887 PROCEEDINGS.

Oct. 13. Complaint filed Summons issued and given Const. C. P. Walter
" Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Walter.
14. Now Come the Defendants their attorneys Wharton and Short and file their demurrer to the Plaintiff Complaint on file herein.
15. 11. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court and by consent take up and argue the demurrer on file herein Demurrer Submitted and overruled defendants Except —
" Plaintiff files his amended Complaint — herein —
" Defendants file their Motion to strike out — the amended Complaint —
" Parties in Court, and by consent Motion argued and Submitted — The Court took the matter under advisement.
" Defendant files his answer to the original Complaint on file herein —
17. Motion to strike out — overruled. Defendants Except —
Defendant allowed two days in which to plead —
18. Defendant files his answer to the Plaintiff amended Complaint herein —
" By Consent this Cause is set for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. Oct 22nd 1887 —
19" By order of Plaintiff this Cause is dismissed — J.P. fees Paid —

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 456.

In the Justices Court of (3). Township,

County of Mono State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Kraatz Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct:	15.	Complaint filed Warrant Issued & given Const. C. P. Walker
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant plead guilty and waived the legal time for sentence —
Ref'd	"	Defendant discharged and allowed to go to work —
		S. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 457

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Inyo State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe alias
John Steibing
Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

R. B. Ivey
Attorney for Plaintiff

E. E. Calhoun
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	17.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton
"	24.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant pleads not guilty — Defendant moved the Court for his discharge upon the grounds of the insufficiency of the complaint — Motion overruled Defendant Excepts. —
	"	Cause set down for trial at 1 O.C. P.M. Oct 28th 1887 — Issued subpoena for the People and defendant — Defendants bond approved and filed —
	28.	1 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial Wm. Cook. Ed. Cook & L. Cook sworn and examined as witnesses for the People. Prosecution Closed — John Steibing and H. Atkins sworn and examined as witnesses for the defendant defense closed — Cause argued and submitted — The Court having fully considered the same — orders that the defendant be discharged — upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict

E. H. Hill J. P.

No. 458.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Mono State of California

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Frank Dunn
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	18.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walker
[illegible]	19.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads not guilty. Cause set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Oct. 24th 1887 — Defendant Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Mono County to await his trial — Defendant's bond approved & filed
	24.	This Cause Continued until 1. O.C. P.M. Oct. 25th 1887 — Defendant demands trial by jury venire issued —
	25.	1. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court ~~and~~ ~~informed~~ venire and subpoena returned and filed — Parties ready for trial.
"		Jurors sworn to answer questions as to qualification — after which by consent of Parties the following Jurors were sworn to try Cause to wit: Geo. Rupert, J. Lee Smith, John Welsh — J. P. Lake, B. H. Burrill, A. H. Conder — Paul Meyer — Hud Burleigh — A. H. Walton — Wm. Jones — J. G. Emery — N. D. Davis — E. J. Ingram — N. C. Parker and N. A. Cranmer — People close — Chas. Baker, Martell, Frank Dunn and Hattie McKinzie — Cause closed and submitted to the Jury for their deliberation and instruct. The Jury after a short retirement were returned into Court with the following verdict — Mono City — Mono Co. — Cal — Oct 25th 1887 — We the Jury find the defendant guilty as Charged — Jury discharged — Defendant ordered to appear for sentence at 1. O.C. P.M. Oct. 26th 1887 —
"	26.	1. O.C. P.M. — Defendant in Court. This being the time fixed for sentence. Defendant informed of the Charge against him of his plea and the verdict of the Jury — and whether he has any legal cause to show why the judgment of the Court should not be pronounced against him — Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Frank Dunn be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $300.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Mono and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine —

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 459.

In the Justices Court of 3 Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Faber & Zinn Plaintiff

vs.

Strode & Burns Defendant

Action account

Demand $ 36. 45/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	19.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walton Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. C. P. Walton
	21st	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendants together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 19th day October 1887 and filed—
		Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed
	25.	In this action the defendants having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law—
	"	In this action the defendants having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of of the said defendants in the premises having been duly entered according to law. Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be entered herein against the said defendants in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff Complaint on file herein—
	"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendants the sum of Thirty Six and forty five 00 dollars and the sum of Twenty three and 25/100 Cents necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the United—
	"	Judgment for the Principal Sued for— 36.45
		" " " Justices fees— 8.50
		" " " Constables " 15.75
	"	Total amount of Judgment $60.70
		J. H. Hills J.P.—
	"	Issued Execution and gave Const. C. P. Walton—

Nov 5th 1887 Execution returned not satisfied and filed—

No. 46a.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Doe — alias
F. H. Williams
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	19.	Complaint filed Warrant Issued & given Depty. Const. J. H. Rose.
Refer	21.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads Not guilty
	"	Defendant Examined and discharged
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 461

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Henry McCrady and
John McCrady
Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	19.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept Const. W. A. Kramer
Rep	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for Sentence - Defendant allowed at once to leave the town.
		E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 462

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

C. A. Grunsky Defendant

Action Perjury

Demand $

Geo. Zeffle & C. G. Sayle Attorney for Plaintiff

G. G. Goucher Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	19.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Sheriff Frank Lewis —
Rept.	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant files in a bond of five Hundred dollars for his appearance for trial Bond approved and filed —
	"	This case set down for Examination at 11. O.C. A.M. Oct. 22. 1887 Issued subpoena for the People & gave Const. Barton —
	22.	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial or Examination — Witnesses sworn and Examined pro and con — Cause closed argued and submitted The Court after having fully considered the same orders that the defendant be and he is hereby discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict

S. H. Hill J.P. —

No. 463.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

James Oakes Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	19	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton
"	21.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence —
Paid		Defendant fined and paid $\$5^{50}$ — Paid Cost $\$2^{50}$ —
		D. H. Hill J. P.

No. 464.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Marin State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John. McNolan & Thos. McNolan alias Jas. Griffin. Jas. Williams and John Murphy— Defendants

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	19.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman McDonald
Rep'd	20.	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises Defendants plead guilty, and waive the legal time for sentence—
		Defendants fined in the sum of Ten dollars each of them and in default of the payment of said fine that you and each of you be and you are hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Marin and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of One days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine—
		J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 465

In the Justices Court of 3 Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Tom Scott. Geo. Goldeman and Harry Harrell Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	20.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton –
	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them
Rept		and of their rights in the premises – Defendants plead guilty –
"		and waive the legal time for sentence –
		Defendants permitted to at once leave the town –
		S. H. Hill

No. 444.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Frank Daly & Herbt. Serial
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1889		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct:	20.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const- C. P. Walker -
	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence -
paid		Defendant Herbert Serial fined & Paid $5.00 - Paid Const $2.50
	"	Defendant Frank Daly pleads guilty - and waives the legal time for Sentence - Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Frank Daly be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $5.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine - S. H. Hill J.P.
	27.	Fine Paid By. Frank Daly - Paid Const $2.50 - Paid J.P. $3.00

No. 467.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Thomas A. Brady Plaintiff

vs.

James Roberts Defendant

Action account

Demand $50.00/100

W. L. Gray
Attorney for Plaintiff

J. G. Rhodes
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	20.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const C. P. Walker.
	21.	Now comes the defendant by his attorney J. G. Rhodes and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff Complaint Contained —
	22.	Summons returned Showing personal Service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on 20th day of Octob 1887 and filed —
Nov	1.	This Cause is set for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. Nov 5th 1887 —
"	5.	11. O.C. A.M. This Cause Continued indefinitely
	9.	This Cause by Consent of Parties set for Trial at 3. O.C. P.M. Nov 10th 1887. Issued Subpoenas for the Defendant — [illegible] —
	"	Continued until Nov 14th 1887 at 11. O.C. A.M. by Consent of parties
	14.	11. ~~O~~ O.C. A.M. Parties in Court and waive Trial by Jury — Parties ready for trial — Thos. A. Brady — H. W. Evans — Sworn and examined as witnesses on behalf of the Plaintiff — Prosecution Closed direct — James Roberts — W. Chittenden and Alfred Baker Sworn and Examined as witnesses on behalf of the defendant — Defence closed direct — Thos. A. Brady — Called in rebuttal — Case closed argued and Submitted — The Court took the same under advisement, without day —
"	16.	11. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court — The Court having fully considered this matter — Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of & from the defendant a judgment for the sum of $50.00 the amount sued for and the sum of $11.65/100 Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the United States —
	"	Judgment for the principal Sum for — 50.00
		" " " Justice fees — 7.25
		" " " Constable " — 4.40
		Total amount of Judgment $61.65
		S. H. Hill. J.P.
		Defendant allowed a stay of proceedings for ten days —
	"	Defendant filed his notice of appeal and undertaking on appeal to the Superior Court —

No. 468.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Bram Mejia & Manuel Francisco
Defendants

Action Smoking Opium

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887 PROCEEDINGS.

Oct. 21st Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Cnst. M. A. Kearn
Defendant Bram Mejia in Court and informed of the
Paid– charge against and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads not guilty
after a full Examination of the defendant the Court ordered
that the defendant be discharged upon the grounds that
Rept the evidence is insufficient to convict—
" Defendant Manuel Francisco in Court and informed
of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises—
Defendant pleads guilty— and waives the legal time
for Sentence— Defendant fined and paid ten dollars—
Paid Court $2.50 – Paid Justice $3.00 – Paid County $4.50

E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 469

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

William Lynch Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	21st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. J. L. Walker
Rept	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads not guilty. Defendant discharged upon the grounds of Mistaken identity.

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 470

In the Justices Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Jose Balenzuela Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1889		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	22.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given J. H. Rorer
" [illegible]	24.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law defendant pleads not guilty and says he is ready for trial — Witnesses sworn and Examined pro and con — Case submitted — The Court after having heard and having fully considered this matter orders that the defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the testimony is insufficient to convict J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 471.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Antonio Longee
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	24	Complaint filed Warrant issued given Dept. Const. C. W. Mason
Rept.	24.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads not guilty and allowed to hunt bail - Skipped the town - S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 472

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Sophia Engler Plaintiff
vs.
C. E. Stivers Geo. Bernhard & J. A. Lane Defendants

Action Damages - account

Demand $299 00/100

W. Sharp
Attorney for Plaintiff

Wheeler & Hall
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	24.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Co. Const. C. W. Mann
	27.	Summons returned showing personal Service on C. E. Stivers and Geo. Bernhard together with a copy the Complaint on file herein on the 27th day of October 1887 at the 3. Judicial Township in the County of Fresno State of California and filed—
	28.	Defendants file their demurrer to the Plaintiff complaint herein
1888. Feb.	6	Demurrer argued and sustained — Plaintiff allowed a reasonable time to amend her complaint.
"	"	Plaintiff files his amended Complaint
	7.	Defendant files his answer to Plaintiffs amended Complaint
	"	This Cause is set for trial at 11. O'C. A. M. Feb 23. 1888—
	23.	Issued Subpoena and gave defendants attorney—
	"	11. O'C. A.M. This Cause by Consent is Continued until 2. O'C P.M. this day—
	"	2 O'C. P.M. Parties in Court and ready for trial. Parties waive trial by Jury— It is admitted by Plaintiff that the property named in the paper or Bill of Sale was made out in her name so that nobody could touch it — Bill of Sale filed in evidence states the same to Nannie Martin — Sophia Engler John B. Engler sworn and examined as witnesses — Plaintiffs case Closed C. E. Stivers & H. H. Gibbs — sworn and examined as witnesses for the defense — defense Closed. Sophia Engler recalled in rebuttal — Cause then argued and Submitted
	"	The Court took the Matter under advisement—
Mch.	1st.	The Court having fully considered this Matter—
	"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant C. E. Stivers the possession of the personal property as Claimed in the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — or in case a delivery Cannot be had thereof for the sum of $98.00 the value thereof as allowed by the Court — and for the sum of $11.85/100 Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of said action in lawful money of the United States—
		See Page 105.

No. 473.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Frank Doortz alias Durrel
Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	Rept 24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. Mann—
"	31.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises— Defendant held for his appearance for trial in the sum of $500.00 Bond approved and filed—
	"	This Cause is set down for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. Nov 8th 1887—
Nov	8"	1. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and ready for Examination Witnesses sworn and Examined pro and Con— after which the Court ordered that the defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict— J. H. Hill. J.P.
Nov		
Page 104.		Judgment for the possession of said property— or for the sum of— 98.00
		" " " Justice fee— 7.50
		" " " Constables " 3.00
	"	Total amount of Judgment— $108.50 J. H. Hill J.P.—
	"	Defendant allowed a stay of proceedings for two days—
	3.	Plaintiff files his Cost Bill— $11.50—
"	29.	Defendant files his Notice and Undertaking on appeal to the Supreme Court—
April	1:	Papers in in this action given defendant attorney—

No. 474.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff	Action Battery
vs.	
William Lamartes	Demand $
	Attorney for Plaintiff
Defendant	Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. J. L. Walker
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
Ret'd		and of his rights in the premises - Defendant plead guilty -
"		and waived the legal time for sentence -
		Defendant fined and paid fine Dollars $5.00 - Paid Costs $2.50
		J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 475

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Joe Moly

Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Depty Const. W. A. Kramer
Rept.	25.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads not guilty. Defendant committed to the Sheriff of Fresno County to await his trial -
	26.	This cause set down for trial at 10. o'c. A.M. Oct 29th 1887 -
	29.	Cause dismissed -

S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 476

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno Stat of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

R. H. Austin Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1889		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Depty. Const. J. S. Walker
Ref'd "	25.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads not guilty — Case dismissed upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict —
		S. H. Hill. J.P.
Nov Page 119.	"	This cause set down for trial at 1. O.C. P.M. November 5th 1887 — Defendant demands trial by jury — Issued venire and subpoena — for the People and defendant
Nov.	5.	1. O.C. P.M. — Defendant in Court — venire and subpoena returned & filed
	"	This cause is continued until 9 O.C. A.M. November 7th 1887 — upon the grounds — that the prosecuting attorney is at this time engaged in the Superior Court — and cannot be present — and the Court is anxious that he should be present at the trial of this action —
	7	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court. Venire returned and filed — Parties ready for trial — The jurors sworn to answer questions as to qualification — after which twelve jurors were sworn to try the cause — Witnesses sworn and examined pro & con. Cause argued and submitted to the jury for their deliberation and verdict — The jury after two hours retirement were returned in Court — and say they cannot agree in a verdict — The jury were therefore discharged —

No. 477.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Mat Smythe
Defendant

Action Embezzlement

Demand $

W. D. Tupper
Attorney for Plaintiff

Calhoun & Gies —
Attorneys for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	25	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. J. A. Barth
Retd "	29.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his right in the premises. Defendant pleads — not guilty defendant held for his appearance for trial in the sum of $100.00 —
	"	Cause set for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Nov 2. 1887 — Issued Subpoenas for the People and defendant.
Nov	2.	10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — Parties waive trial by jury — and say they are ready for trial — C. P. Walton — H. Schell, & Geo. Rupert sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution after which — the prosecution rests — M. Smythe sworn and examined as a witness in his own behalf — Defense rests — The Prosecution was allowed until 2. O.C. P.M. to produce and furnish the Court with a paper Containing a settlement with the defendant and H. Schell — —
	"	2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court — The prosecuting witness presented to the Court — a paper Containing a settlement as aforesaid — the defense objected to the introduction of said paper upon the grounds that said paper was only a Copy of the original objection overruled Defendant Except — Cause Submitted —
	"	The Court — after hearing the evidence and having fully considered the same, finds that the defendant is guilty — of Embezzling the sum of ten dollars the personal property — of H. Schell — and payable to C. P. Walton — And ordered to appear for Sentence at 9. O.C. A.M. November 3, 1887 —
	3.	10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — this being the time fixed for sentence. Defendant informed by the Court — of the nature of the Charge against him — and of his plea and of the verdict and asked whether he has any legal cause to show why judgment should not be pronounced against him —
	"	Defendant filed his motion in arrest of judgment — also ~~his~~ motion for a new trial — The Court — after having fully considered the same — Orders that the defendant have a new trial — upon the grounds of probable cause — (See Page 108 —)
	8.	Now comes the district attorney and moves the Court to dismiss this action

upon the grounds — that the evidence is insufficient to convict —

J. H. Hill J. P.

No. 478.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Herbert Silas Walker

Defendant

Action Insanity.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	25.	Complaint and affidavit filed - Warrant of arrest issued and given Depty Const. C. W. Moore returnable before Hon. J. B. Campbell. Judge of Superior Court.
Retd		J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 479.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

G. B. Stroud
Plaintiff
vs.
John D. Beam & P. A. Burns
Defendants

Action Note of hand

Demand $190.00/100

D. W. Geis
Attorney for Plaintiff
E. E. Calhoon
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	25.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff attorney—
Nov.	2.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendants together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 31st day of October 1887 and the 2nd day of Nov 1887 and filed this day—
	4.	Defendant files his answer and Cross Complaint to the Plaintiff Complaint on file herein—
Nov.	7.	This cause is set down for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. Nov 8th 1887—
	8.	11. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court and waive trial by jury Parties ready for trial— G. B. Stroud sworn and examined as a witness for the Plaintiff— Note offered in evidence— Plaintiff closed— John D. Beam & P. A. Burns sworn and examined as witness for the defense— J. E. Whitson, G. W. Owens & Chs. B. Griffith sworn and examined as to the character of P. A. Burns— Defense closed— G. B. Stroud and W. D. Geis examined in rebuttal by the Plaintiff— Cause submitted— The Court took the same under advisement until 11. O.C. A.M. Nov. 10th 1887—

No. 481

In the Justices Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
E. B. Lane
Defendant

Action Assault with a deadly weapon

Demand $

W. L. Gracy
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. Octbr	25th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. J. A. Barker
	31.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno to wait for Examination in default of a bond of $1000.00 — Defts. Bond approved & filed —
Nov	1.	This Cause is transferred to the Court of Phillip Stuart Justice of the Peace in and for 3d Township Fresno County —
		S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 481

In the Justices Court of 3 Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe and Richard Roe Barry Wilson & Ed Shay and Joe Manly Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	25.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given to Dept Const W. A. Kramer
	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants plead not guilty.
Papers	26.	This cause set down for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. Oct 29th 1887—
	29.	11. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court & say they are ready for trial. A. C. Carr — J. A. Creelman & W. S. Kay — Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People — Prosecution closed — The defendant waived the examination of any witness. Cause submitted. The Court after having fully considered this matter, ordered that Barry Wilson and Ed Shay be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict — and it is further ordered that you Joe Manly be and you are hereby found Guilty as charged — and that you appear for Sentence at 10. O.C. A.M. Oct 31st 1887—
Nov.	1st	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court this being the time fixed for Sentence Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Joe Manly be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $19.00 and in default of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of one days imprisonment for any dollar of said fine—

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 482.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The Singer Machine Co. Plaintiffs

vs.

H. Nevins

Defendant

Action Account

Demand $48.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct:	26.	Complaint filed Summons Issued & given A. M. Thompson

No. 483 -

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Mono State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Bartd. Luis Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	27	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton
Retd.	29	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant held for his appearance for trial or Examination in the sum of $500.00 Bond approved & filed -
"		Cause set for Examination at 11. O.C. A.M. Nov 4th 1887 —
Nov	4.	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court. Cause continued in consequence of an important witness Baptist Kuck — Issued attachment for said Baptist Kuck — returnable Nov 5th 1887 at 11. O.C. A.M. —
"		Cause continued until 11. O.C. A.M. Nov 5th 1887 —
		Issued subpoena for the defense — —
"	5.	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court. and says he is ready for Examination
"		M. W. Hitchcock sworn and examined as a witness for the Prosecution — after which the Prosecuting attorney admonished the Court — that the evidence of the Prosecution was insufficient to convict. Whereupon the Court ordered that the defendant be discharged —
		J. H. Hill J.P. —

No. 484.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

P. H. Edwards
Plaintiff
vs.
J. A. Bell and R. G. Howell
Defendants

Action Note of Hand & Interest—

Demand $200 00/100

E. D. Edwards
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	27.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walton Affidavit for attachment made and filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. C. P. Walton
Nov	3.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendants together with a copy of the complaint herein filed on the 29th day of Oct. 1887 and filed this day—
"		Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed—
"	4.	In this action the defendants having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendants in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law—

No. 385.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Robert Lee Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct:	24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
Rept	"	and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads not guilty
		Cause set down for trial at 9. O.C. A.M. Nov 1st 1887 –
Nov	1.	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and ready for trial – Witnesses
		sworn and examined pro and con – Cause submitted
		The Court after hearing fully considered the same orders
		that for said offence that Robert Lee be and you are
		hereby fined in the sum of $7.40 Paid Court $4.40 –
		S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 484

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Peter Fabretti
Defendant

Action Assault with a deadly weapon

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	8 PM 30	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton

No. 487

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Nancy Gomez — Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887 PROCEEDINGS.

Oct. Repd. 31. Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. J. A. Barker

" Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against her of her rights in the premises Defendant pleads not guilty after examination the Court ordered her discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict —

J. H. Hill J.P.

Mem

Page 121. Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of Seventy-five dollars allowed by the jury who tried this cause and the sum of $ Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the U. S.

Judgment for the Principal allowed —	75.00
" " " Justice fees —	9.70
" " " Constable "	5.70
" " " Jury — "	8.00
" Witness John Reynolds 1 day 1 mile	2.20
" Harry Reynolds " " " "	2.20
Total amount of Judgment —	$102.80

J. H. Hill J.P.

Dec. 14. Plaintiff filed his Cost Bill $24.80 —

" 19. By order of Plaintiff this Cause is hereby dismissed having been paid Satisfactory —

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 488.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
William Shafer Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	31.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. C. P. Walker
Nov.	3.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law Defendant pleades not guilty. Cause set down for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. November the 10th 1887 — Defendant deposited the sum of $25.00 in place of Bond for his appearance for trial —
"		Defendant demands trial by Jury — venire issued — Issued Subpoenas for People and defendant —
"	10.	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court venire returned and filed Parties ready for trial. Jurors sworn to answer question as to qualification — after which the following Jurors were sworn to try the Cause — towit; P. Broderick — J. H. Hamilton W. W. Pool. J. C. Walker — C. W. Cutting. N. Hunsthal. H. Burleigh. J. B. Franklin Geo. McKenna — W. J. Parker Chs Butler — J. N. Neely. S. Shelby — Witnesses for and Con sworn and put under the Rule of the Court — A. E. Stump Lois Zediker. Mrs Zediker Levi Burghill — J. P. Stump — sworn and examined as witness for the People. People Closed
"		Court took a recess until 1. O.C. P.M. this day —
"		1. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court Jury all present — Parties ready to proceed — William Shafer. Maud Shafer and Eva Simmons — sworn and examined as witness as witnesses for the defense — Case Closed argued and submitted to the Jury for their deliberation and verdict — The Jury after two hours deliberation were returned in to Court by the proper officer of this Court and informed the Court that they the Jury Could not agree as to a verdict — after which the Jury were discharged —
1888. Jan.	4"	On Motion of the District Attorney this Cause is dismissed upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to Convict the Defendant —

J. H. Hill J. P.

No. 489.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

W. P. Haber
Plaintiff
vs.
C. J. Keller & Mrs. C. McCollough
Defendants

Action Account a Contract

Demand $200.00/100

Wheaton & Short
Attorneys for Plaintiff

E. J. Griffith
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	31.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walton —
Nov.	1.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendants together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 1st day of November 1887 — and filed —
"	2	Defendants file their demurrer to the Plaintiff Complaint on file herein —
Dec.	4"	Demurrer argued and submitted — The Court took the same under advisement —
"	8.	The Court having fully considered defendants demurrer now overrules the said demurrer holding that the complaint is good — Defendants Excepts —
	9"	This cause is set down for trial at 1 O.C P.M. Dec 12" 1887. with the understanding that defendants will file their answer in the mean time —
	"	Issued Subpoena for and give Plaintiff
	"	Defendants demand trial by jury — venire issued
"	12.	1. O.C. P.M — Parties in Court — venire returned and filed — Parties ready for trial. Four Jurors sworn and examined as to qualification — after which by consent of Parties the following jurors were sworn to try the cause — as follows to wit — J. P. Dunn — G. R. Taylor W. J. Williams and S. M. Elam — H. J. Haber — sworn and examined as witness for the Plaintiff Contract filed in evidence. W. P. Haber and John Reynolds sworn and examined as witnesses on behalf of Plaintiff after which the Prosecution Closed — direct — — H. J. Haber. W. P. Haber — C. J. Keller sworn and examined as witnesses for the defense — Defense Closed — Plaintiff Called. Fred Reynolds and H. J. Haber in Rebuttal. after which the cause was closed argued and submitted to the Jury for their deliberation and verdict —
"		The Jury after a short retirement were returned into Court by the proper officer of this Court — with the following verdict — Fresno Dec 12th 1887. We the Jury do agree to give to the Plaintiff the sum of Seventy five Dollars. S. M. Elam foreman

See Page 119 —

No. 490

In the Justices Court of 5. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Ora Gray

Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	31.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walters.
Nov	1.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against her and of her rights in the premises — Defendant pleads not guilty — Defendant held to answer in the sum of $50.00 Bond approved & filed —
"	9.	This Cause is set down for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. Nov 11th 1887 —
"		Issued Subpoena for the People and defendant.
1888. Jan.	4.	This Cause is set for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. January 10th 1888.
	5	Issued Subpoena for the People and defendant
" "	10.	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court Cause continued until 11. O.C. A.M. January 19th 1888 — by consent —
"	19.	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — Now Comes the District Attorney and moves the Court and asks that the defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the prosecuting witness cannot be found — "So ordered"

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 491

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Mattie Jones Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	31.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walker.
Nov.	1.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against her and of her rights in the premises — Defendant pleads not guilty — Defendant held for her trial in the sum of $50.00 Bond approved and filed —
"	9.	This cause set down for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. Nov 11th 1887. Issued Subpoenas for People and defendant —
1888. Jan	4.	This cause is set for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. January 11th 1888 —
	5.	Issued Subpoenas for the People and Defendant ——
"	11.	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — Cause continued until 11. O.C. A.M. January 19th 1888 — by consent ——
"	19.	11. O.C. A.M. Now comes the district Attorney and asks the Court to discharge the defendant — upon the grounds — that the prosecuting witness cannot be found —

S. H. Hill, J.P.

No. 492.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

W. W. Smith Plaintiff
vs.
R. B. Lucas Defendant

Action Account

Demand $5.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct.	31.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Welts
Nov.	5.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 1st day of Nov 1887 and filed –
"	7.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law
	14.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law, now at this day on application of the Plaintiff It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff Complaint on file herein –
"		Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Five dollars the amount of judgment allowed, and the sum of Five and 50/100 dollars Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of of this action – in lawful money of the United States –
"		Judgment for the principal allowed – 5.00
		" " " Justices fees – 4.50
		" " Constable " 1.00
		Total amount of judgment – $10.50

S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 493 –

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

R. M. Wilson Plaintiff

vs.

L. R. Shafer Defendant

Action Note of hand

Demand $106.00/100 + interest

G. E. Caldwell – Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887. PROCEEDINGS.

Oct. 31st Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walker –

〃 Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. C. Walker –

Nov. 3. Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 31st day of October 1887 and filed –

Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed –

7. In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein, and the time allowed by law for answering having expired – the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law –

. In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law. Now at this day comes G. E. Caldwell attorney for Plaintiff and on application of said G. E. Caldwell it is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff Complaint on file herein –

Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that L. R. Wilson do have and recover of and from the said defendant L. R. Shafer the sum of One hundred and Six Dollars the principal sum due – and the sum of Fifty-Seven and 95/100 dollars the interest thereon – and the sum of $15.00 dollars attorneys fees and the further sum of $16.70/100 Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in Gold Coin of the United States –

〃 Judgment for the principal sum due –	106.00
〃 〃 〃 Interest thereon –	57.95 –
〃 〃 〃 Attorneys fees –	15.00
〃 〃 〃 Justices 〃	8.50
〃 〃 〃 Constables 〃	8.20
〃 Total amount of Judgment –	$195.65 –

E. H. Hill J.P. –

1889 May 9 Abstract of judgment delivered to pltff } June 23rd 1890 receipt of G. E. Caldwell atty for pltff

1890 April 28th Execution issued alias. } filed Satisfying said judgment, [illegible] J.P.

Successor to E. H. Hill J.P.

1889. Jan 5th Issued Execution & given Plaintiff atty }

No. 494.

In the Justices Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno State of California

A. F. Hoffman Plaintiff

vs.

Kwong On Lung — Defendant

Action Note of hand

Demand $41.25/100 & Interest —

and $25.00 atty. fee — Tupper & Tupper Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov.	1st	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walter —
	"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed — Writ of attachment issued & given Const. C. P. Walter This case dismissed having been paid in full — S. H. Hill, J.P.

No. 495

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State *of* California

The People of California *Plaintiff*
vs.
C. E. Rivera & Jose Jones
*Defendant*s

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney *for Plaintiff*

Attorney *for Defendant*

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	2	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. W. A. Kramm
	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them
Sept		and of their rights in the premises - Defendants plead guilty
"		and waive the legal time for sentence -
		Defendants permitted to at once leave the town - "
		S. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 496.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Chs. Lewis — Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov.	4.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walter.
Repd		The People of Cal. } action Grand vs Ah Sing — }
"	4.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walter —
Repd "	26.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against them and of his rights in the premises — Defendant held for Examination in the sum of $100. — Bond approved and filed —
1888. Jan.	4.	This cause set for Examination at 11. O.C. A.M. January 12th 1888 —
"	12.	Cause by Consent Continued until February 6th 1888 —
Feb.	6.	Issued Subpoena for the People —
"	"	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — On Motion of the Depty. District Attorney — G. E. Colwell — this cause is hereby dismissed — upon the grounds of the absence of the witness and the improbability of procuring the attendance of said witness — S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 497

In the Justices Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

James Baker and John McDonald Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	4.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walter -
"	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them
Rept		and of their rights in the premises - Defendants plead guilty
		and waive the legal time for sentence -
	"	Defendants allowed at once to leave the town -
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 498.

In the Justices Court of 3d Township,

County of Mono State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
John Stuart Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov.	4.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const C. P. Walton

No. 499

In the Justices Court of 3rd Township,

County of Fresno State of California

G. Ferretto
Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs. A. Cranna
Defendant

Action Damages—

Demand $299.00

Wharton & Short—
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Hardy & Merriam
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	5th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plffs. Counsel—
"	9.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 9th day of November 1887— and filed this day—
	14.	Defendant files her answer to the Plaintiff complaint herein—
	17.	" " " Amended answer
	"	This Cause by Consent of Parties set for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. November 23rd 1887.
	21.	Issued Subpoena for the defendant and gave Const.—
	22.	Issued Subpoena for the Plaintiff & gave Const. Moore
	23.	11. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court— Cause Continued until 24th 11. O.C. A.M. Nov 24—
	24.	Parties in Court 11. O.C. A.M. Parties waive trial by Jury— Parties ready for trial— Witnesses Sworn and Examined pro and Con after which the Cause closed argued and Submitted— The Court having fully Considered the Same—
	"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the evidence and the whole of the premises aforesaid— It is ordered and adjudged that the defendant do have and recover of and from the Plaintiff her Costs necessarily expended in her defense, taxed at the Sum of Forty One and 80/100 dollars— per Defendants Cost Bill— on file herein—

Total amount of Judgment allowed by the Court—

" "	Justices fees—		5.00
" "	Constables " "		8.40
Witness	Chs. Hodgdon—	2. days— one mile	4.20
"	Jas. Martill—	" " " "	4.20
"	Geo. Kelley—	" " " "	4.20
"	Mary Slytus—	" " " "	4.20
"	M. Leach—	" " " "	4.20
"	J. H. Bartlett	" " " "	4.20
"	Interpreter—		3.00
	Full amount of Judgment		$41.80

S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 500

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe – alias James Hawkins – Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Jun.	Feby 5th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. J. L. Walton.

No. 501.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

M. W. Hitchcock Defendant

Action Furnishing Liquor to Indians

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	5.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
Deft	6.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads Not guilty — Defendant held for trial in absence of $100.00 Bond approved & filed
	21st	This Cause is set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Nov 28th 1887 — Issued subpoenas for the People and Deft —
	29.	1. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court — Cause Continued until 9. O.C. A.M. November 30th 1887 — in Consequence of the absence of Defendants Counsel by reason of Sickness —
	30.	Cause Continued until Dec 10th 1887 — 10. O.C. A.M —
Dec	5.	Issued Subpoena for the defendant —
"	10.	10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — Cause Continued until 1½ O.C. P.M. this day —
"		1½ O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court — Defendant filed his demurrer to the complaint on file here — at this time the district atty asked leave to file new complaints — granted — Demurrer Sustained — and Cause dismissed on motion of the District atty — without prejudice to the People —

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 502.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe & Rich Roe alias Thos. Williams & Ed Stanty — Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov.	4th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given C. P. Walker.
	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants plead guilty
	Recd "	and waive the legal time for sentence —
		Defendants allowed to leave the Town —
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 503.

In the Justices Court of Fresno Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Chinaman Defendant

Action Malicious Mischief

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	7.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const J.A. Barker
	〃	

No. 504-

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Mrs Rebecca Quick
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887 PROCEEDINGS.

Nov. 7 Complaint filed - Warrant issued & given Affiant -

" 11. Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against her and of her rights in the premises - Defendant pleads not guilty -

Paid

" This cause set down for trial at 1. O.C. P.M. Nov 12th 1887.
Defendant allowed to appear on her own recognizance

" 12. 1. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court - Parties waive trial by Jury and say they are ready to proceed with the trial
Henry Limbaugh. Annie Limbaugh & Fannie Martin - Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution -
Rebecca Quick and Andrew Jackson Sworn and examined as witnesses for the defense Cause closed argued and submitted - The Court after having fully considered the same finds the Defendant guilty as charged - and orders that she appear for sentence at 10. O.C. A.M. November 14th 1887 -

14. 10. O.C. A.M. - Defendant in Court - and informed by the Court of the of the nature of the Charge against her - and of her plea and the verdict - and asked whether she has any legal cause to show why judgment should not be pronounced against her - Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Rebecca Quick be and you are hereby fined in the sum of Twenty one and 90/100 dollars - and in case said fine be not paid by the 19th day of November 1887 - that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County - in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine -

S. H. Hill J.P.

19. Fine Paid by Andrew Jackson - Paid Costs $17.90 -

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 505

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Gabriel Ney - Harry McCrady, Geo. Claims, J. Arimede, Joe Gartu and John Wilson Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	8	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. W. A. Kramer
Rept.	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence —
	"	Defendant allowed at once to leave the town

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 506.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Mono State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
May Smith. John Smith Thos. Smith
Wm Smith & Robert Smith.
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.			PROCEEDINGS.
Nov.	8.		Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. C. C. Wallace
	"	Ret'd	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises - Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for Sentence -
	"		Defendants allowed to at once leave the town -
			S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 5-07.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

William Plate Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Wheeler & Shaw
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	9.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton —
"	11.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads not guilty —
Repd		
"		Case set down for trial at 11. O'c A.M. Nov 19th 1887 — Defendant held for his appearance for trial in the sum of $50.00 Bond approved and filed — Issued subpoenas for People and Defendant.
	19.	1. O'c. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial — Witnesses sworn and examined pro and con Cause submitted — The Court after having fully considered the same — Ordered that the defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict —

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 518

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Ockenden Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Mar.	9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff O. J. Meade.
	12.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence —
		Defendant fined and Paid $31.00 — Paid Court W. Hill $26.00
		S. H. Hill J.P. —

No. 509

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Ellis Draper
Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	11.	Complaint made and filed in the Court of S. S. Hogue 4th Judicial Township in the County of Fresno State of California
	Refd.	Warrant returned to this Court in consequence of the absence of S. S. Hogue Justice of the peace of said 4th Township—
		Defendant in Court and pleads not guilty as charged—
	"	This cause set down for trial 11. O.C. A.M. Nov 12th 1887—
		Issued Subpoenas for the People and the defendant—,
		Defendant held for trial in a bond of $50.00— Bond approved & filed
	12.	11. O.C. A.M— Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial. Witnesses pro and Con sworn and examined—Cause submitted. The court having fully considered the same Orders that the defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict—

S. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 570

In the Justices Court of 3 Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Frank Wyllie Plaintiff
vs.
G. W. Wyllie Defendant

Action Account
Demand $69.50
G. E. Colwell Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	10	Complaint filed Summons Issued & given Const. C. P. Walker
	11.	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 11th day of November 1887—
	16.	Now Comes the defendant in proper person and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff complaint Contained—
"	24.	By Consent of Parties this Cause is set down for trial at 11— o.c. P.M. Nov 29th 1887—
"		Defendant asked leave and filed his amended answer
"		11. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court and waive trial by jury Parties ready for trial— Frank Wyllie and Elizabeth Wyllie sworn and examined as witnesses on behalf of the Plaintiff— after which the prosecution Closed direct— The Court took a recess until 1. O.C. P.M. this day
"		1. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court and ready to proceed— G. W. Wyllie sworn and examined as a witness in his own behalf— after which the defense Closed— Frank Wyllie Called in rebuttal by the prosecution— Cause Closed argued and Submitted— The Court after having fully considered this whole matter—
"		Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff Frank Wyllie do have and recover of and from the defendant G. W. Wyllie the sum of Sixty one dollars the amount allowed in this action by the Court— and the sum of Eight and 75/100 dollars Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action— in lawful Money of the United States—
"		Judgment for the principal allowed by the Court 61.00
		" " " Justice fees— 5.25
		" " " Constable " 3.50
		Total amount of Judgment. $ 69.75
		S. H. Hill J.P.
"		Defendant allowed a Stay of proceedings for ten days—

Jan 7th 1887 Execution returned Satisfied— S. H. Hill

Dec 6th 1887. Issued Execution to Const. J. B. Morgan

No. 511

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Frank Abbot
Defendant

Action Assault with a deadly weapon

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	Rept. 11	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton

No. 512.

In the Justices Court of 3d. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

S. Lehman Plaintiff
vs.
R. M. McDonald
Defendant

Action Account

Demand $150.00 — & $50.00 damages —

C. G. Sayle
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	11.	Complaint filed on claim and delivery of personal property — Summons issued affidavit and undertaking made and given Constable C. P. Walton —
	17.	By order of Plaintiff this Cause is dismissed — J.P. fees Paid —

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 513

In the Justices Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
E. E. Staggs
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	Sept 12	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. J. S. Morgan
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant plead guilty and waived the legal time for sentence - Defendant allowed to go - and leave the town - S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 514 —

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Merced State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
G. Sabel —
Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	12.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. W. L. Hill
"	14.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law — Defendant plead guilty and waived the legal time for sentence —
"		Defendant fined and paid $31.00 — Paid Constable $26.00 —

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 515

In the Justices Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Cuff Powell Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov.	P.M. 12.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. W. L. Hill

No. 516

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Eugene Cooper Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	Ref.	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	14	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. H. A. Kramer
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence — Defendant ordered to at once leave the town —

S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 517.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Jonathan Achey

Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov Rept	14.	Complaint filed Warrant Issued & given Const W. L. Hill

No. 518

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
S. M. Grigsby
Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	14.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. W. L. Hill
"	25	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads guilty as charged - and waives the legal time for sentence
R. W.	"	Defendant fined in the sum of Twenty five dollars - Defendant at once paid said fine - the same paid to Deputy district Attorney - W. L. Dupper -

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 519.

In the Justices Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Dorenth —
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov.	15.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton
"	17.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant plead guilty and waived the legal time for sentence — Defendant fined and paid $5.00 — Paid Court $2.50 — S. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 520.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Mono State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Smel Bongal alias Esmael Bungel Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	15.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walker
"	17.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises – Defendant held for Examination in the sum of $500.00 – Bond approved and filed –
pd		
	21.	This Cause set down for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. Nov 29th 1887 – Issued Subpoenas for the People & Defendant –
	29	10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for Examination Peter sworn as interpreter – Luisa Montano and Jesus Sadora – sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution after which on motion of the District Attorney this Cause is dismissed upon the grounds that the testimony is insufficient to convict the defendant –

S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 521

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Winter & Fred Winter Defendant

Action Malicious Mischief —

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov.	15.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
Rep'd	17.	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead not guilty. Cause set down for trial at 1 O.C. P.M. Nov 26th 1887 — Issued subpoenas for the People & defendant & gave Const. —
	26.	1 O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court and parties waive trial by Jury and Say they are ready to proceed with the trial — S. Lehman — H. C. H. Ernstson — and Augusta Ernstson Sworn and examined as witnesses for the prosecution after which the prosecution Closed — Defendants waived the introduction of any testimony in their behalf — Cause Closed and Submitted — The Court after having fully considered the Same — Orders that the defendants be and they are hereby discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict — and the Court hereby Certifies in the minutes of Said Cause — that the prosecution to all appearances is Malicious or without probable Cause — & therefore order that the prosecutor S. Lehman — to pay the costs of the action — or to give Satisfactory security by written undertaking with one or more Sureties to pay the Same within thirty days after trial — in the sum of $85 70/100 amount of the costs — S. H. Hill J.P. —

No. 5-22.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

J. S. Stevens Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Abbot Defendant

Action Account

Demand $43.50/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	15.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const C. P. Walton -
	"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const Walton -
	16.	This Cause paid in full and dismissed
	18.	Paid Plff $43.50 - S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 5-2-3-

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Dodson Defendant

Action Assault with intent to murder with a deadly weapon —

Demand $

R. B. Terry Attorney for Plaintiff

H. S. Dixon Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov.	15:	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
Ret'd	16.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant held for his appearance in a bond of $300.00 — Bond approved and filed —
1888. Jan	4.	This cause set for Examination at 11 O'C. A. M. January 13th 1888.
"		Issued Subpoenas for the People and defendant —
"	13:	Now comes the defendant — with the consent of the district attorney — and pleads guilty — to a simple assault — and waives the legal time for sentence —
"		For said offense Defendant fined and paid $10.00 Paid County.

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 524

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Boyle Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	15th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Policeman G. W. McConnell
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence —
	"	Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you John Boyle be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $\$6^{50}$ —
	"	Defendant Paid said fine and therefore discharged
		Paid Policeman $\$2^{80}$ —
		S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 525

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe — Bud Appling Defendant

Action Battery —

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	16.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept Const. J. S. Morgan
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence —
	"	Defendant fined and paid $5.00 — Paid Const. $2.50 —
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 526.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Scott Campbell Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov.	17.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Marshall J. H. Bartlett
"	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff to await his examination. Cause set down for examination by Consent of parties at 10 O.C. A.M. Nov 21st 1887.
	21.	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and ready for examination. W. S. Fetherston and C. W. Fearn sworn and examined as witnesses for the People. prosecution Closed. Defendant waived the Examination of any witness. Cause Closed and Submitted. The Court after having fully Considered the same and it appearing to me that the offense mentioned in the complaint on file herein has been committed and that there is sufficient cause to believe the above named defendant Scott Campbell guilty thereof I order that he be held to answer to the same and committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and that he be admitted to bail in the sum of $[illegible]: and is committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno until he give such bail. J. H. Hill. J.P. + Ex officio Magistrate

No. 527.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Mono State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

S. Lehman Defendant

Action Malicious Mischief

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	17.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton
Refd	18.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads not guilty and held to appear on his own recognizance — Cause set down for trial at 1. O'c. P.M. November 26th 1887 —
	2.	O'c. P.M. Defendant in Court and parties waive trial by jury — Parties ready for trial — J. L. Winters W. Winters sworn and examined as witness for the prosecution — People close — S. Lehman — Henry Catton & Geo. Washburn — sworn and Examined as witnesses for the defendant — defense close — and cause submitted — The Court after having fully considered the same — Ordered that the defendant be and he is hereby discharged — upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict, And the Court hereby certifies in the minutes of said Court that the prosecution to all appearances — is malicious or without probable cause — & hereby order that the prosecutor J. L. Winters to pay the Costs of this action or to give satisfactory security by a written undertaking with one or more sureties to pay the same within thirty days after trial in the sum of $23.00 — The written undertaking by the prosecuting witness given and approved — This November 26th 1887 — S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 528

In the Justices Court of 5 Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Samuel Rowe Plaintiff

vs.

Walter S. Shannon Defendant

Action Note of hand

Demand $250.00

C. G. Sayle Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	19"	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff attorney.
Dec.	12"	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant in the County of San Joaquin – State of California – together with a copy of the complaint on file here on the 23. day of November 1887 and filed –
"	14	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein – and the time allowed by law for answering having expired – the default of the said defendant in premises is hereby duly entered according to law –
"		In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process – and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law – Now at this day on application of C. G. Sayle attorney for said Plaintiff It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff Complaint on file herein –
"		Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that Samuel Rowe Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant Walter S. Shannon the sum of two hundred and fifty dollars the amount of the note sued on and the sum of Sixty-one and 64/100 dollars the interest thereon at one per cent per Month. and the further sum of Eight and 10/100 dollars Costs necessarily expended in prosecution of said action in lawful money of the United States –
"		Judgment for the amount of the note sued on – 250.00
		" " " Interest thereon 61.64
		" " " Justices fees – 4.50
		" " " Sheriffs " 3.60
"		Total amount of judgment – $ 319.74
		S. H. Hill J.P. –
1888. Jan	5.	Issued abstract of judgment & given Plaintiffs atty C. G. Sayle –

No. 529.

In the Justices Court of 3d. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Donahoe Emmons & Co. Plaintiffs
vs.
C. S. Gallagher Defendant

Action Note of hand — with interest per note —
Demand $100.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	21.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walker. affidavit for attachment made and filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. C. P. Walker.
	22.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 22d day of Nov 1887 and filed —
		Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed —
Dec —	27.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having Expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"		In this action the defendant having been duly and regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the legal time for answering having Expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — —
		Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of One hundred dollars the amount of the Note sued on and the sum of Six and 60/100 dollars the interest thereon, and the sum of Seventeen and 70/100 Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action — in lawful money of the United States —
"		Judgment for the Principal sued on — 100.00
		" " " Interest thereon — 6.60
		" " " Justice fees — 8.50
		" " " Constable " 9.20 —
"		Total amount of Judgment — $. 124.30

J. H. Hill J.P.

Judgment Settled by new Note & Orig. Mortgage Mch. 28th 1888 —

No. 534

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

W. R. Thomas Plaintiff
vs.
Geo. McCollough Defendant

Action Claim and delivery

Demand $100.00/100 Damages — $50.00

Church & Cary
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	21st	Complaint — on Claim and delivery filed — Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walker — Affidavit — and Undertaking on Claim and delivery made and given Const. Walker —

No. 531

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Mike Bass — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov.	Febr 21.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton

No. 532

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Mono. State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Allen Stump & Fred Yedithe
Defendants

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

W. L. Bruffer
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov Feby	23.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
"	24.	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises Defendants plead not guilty
Dec	20.	This cause set for trial January 4th 1888 at 10. OC. A. M. Issued Subpoenas for the People and the defendants
Jan	4.	Defendants demand trial by jury venire issued & given Const. Cause continued until 1. OC. P. M. Jan 4th 1888
"		1. OC. P. M. Defendants in court — venire returned and filed — Parties ready for trial — Jury sworn to answer questions as to qualification — Eight Jurors by consent tried the Cause — Witnesses sworn and Examined pro and con — Cause closed argued and submitted — to the jury for their deliberation and verdict. The jury after a short deliberation were returned into Court with a verdict of acquittal. —

S. H. Hills J. P.

No. 533.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Georg Bates
Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	23.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given District atty. W. D. Tupper
	30.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises – Defendant pleads guilty as Charged – – Defendant waives the legal time for sentence – Defendant Geo. Bates fined $89.00 and allowed until December the 3rd to pay said fine –
	"	Defendant – Paid $50.00 to W. D. Tupper
1888. Jan	14	Defendant – Paid – $36.00 – Paid to W. D. Tupper –

No. 534.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of Cal

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
H. C. Fish & A. J. Wade
Defendants

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. D. Tupper
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov R.P.M.	23.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given District Atty W. D. Tupper
	29.	Defendant A. J. Wade in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads Not guilty — Defendant held for trial in a bond of One Hundred & fifty dollars — Bond approved & filed Case set down for trial at 11.00 A.M. Dec — 9th 1887 —
Dec.	7.	This case is Continued generally — by consent of Defendant —
1887. Jan	4.	This Case is set for trial January 23. 1888. at 11. OC. A.M. —
	23.	Case dismissed on Motion of the District Atty —

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 533.

In the Justices Court of 3: Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

W. R. Hampton & Ed. McKenzie Defendants

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. D. Tupper Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	Rept.	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov.	23.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dist. Atty W. D. Tupper
Dec.	3.	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises — Defendants plead guilty as Charged and waived the legal time for sentence —
	"	Defendants fined and paid $94.00 Defendants discharged Paid Costs

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 536

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Fred Visher Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. D. Tupper Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov.	23.	Complaint filed Warrant issued &c Dist Atty W. D. Tupper
Dec.	1st.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads guilty and waive the legal time for Sentence
	"	Defendant fined $95.00 — Defendant Paid $50.00 and allowed six days in which to pay $45.00—
"	9th	Defendant Paid $45.00 — being in full of his fine — Paid Co—
		J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 537.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Mrs Wageman
Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. D. Tupper
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	P.M. 23.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dep. Dist. Atty W. D. Tupper
Dec	19.	Defendant in Court by her attorney in fact J. M. Shannon informed of the Charge against her and of her rights in the premises. Defendant plead guilty and waives the legal time for sentence.
	"	Defendant fined and paid $89.00 and discharged. Paid County.
		J. H. Hill. J. P.

No. 538.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
W. R. Jamerson Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. D. Tupper
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. Ref	23.	Complaint filed warrant issued & given District Atty. W. D. Tupper
	28.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises – Defendant held for his appearance to ~~plead~~ in the Sum of $100.00 at 11. o'c A.M. Dec 5th 1887
Dec.	5th	Now comes the defendant in proper person and pleades guilty as Charged and waives the legal time for Sentence Defendant fined and Paid $71.00 Defendant discharged Paid County – S. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 539.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Peter Ducilanda Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. D. Tupper Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	23.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dist. Atty W. D. Tupper
Repl	28.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant held for his appearance ~~to plead~~ at 10 o'c. A.M. December 5th 1887 in a Bond of $100 Bond approved and filed
Dec.	5.	Now comes the defendant in proper person and pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence Defendant fined and Paid $71.00 Defendant discharged Paid County S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 544

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Vignola

Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. D. Tupper

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	23.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Atty W. D. Tupper
[illegible]	29.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant plead guilty and waived the legal time for Sentence — Defendant fined $96.00 Paid $80.00 and allowed twenty days to pay $16.00 a balance due —
Dec.	6th	Defendant Paid in to Court $16.00 — and fully discharged Paid County. S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 541.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Kern State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Jonathan Achey Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. S. Tupper Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	23.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Depty Dist Atty W. S. Tupper
	28.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant plead guilty as Charged — and waives the legal time for Sentence — Defendant fined and Paid the sum of $89.00 Deft. discharged Paid County.
	Rcpt	J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 542.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
S. H. Grigsby Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. D. Tupper Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	23.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Atty W. D. Tupper
	26.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises —
Dismd.		Now Comes the Deputy District atty and moves the Court in furtherance of Justice to dismiss this action —
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 543.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. Henderson Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. D. Tupper Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	23.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dist. Atty. W. D. Tupper
	24.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
		and of his rights in the premises – Defendant plead guilty – as
Rept	"	charged – and waived the legal time for sentence –
		Defendant fined and Paid $8.00 – Defendant discharged –
		Paid County. S. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 544.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
John Doe Downey alias
James Downey Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. D. Tupper Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	23.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dist. Atty W. D. Tupper
Dec –	1st Ptff	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his right in the premises – Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence – Defendant fined and Paid $98" – Paid County.
		D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 545

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Westfall alias D. W. Westfall Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. D. Tupper Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	23.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dist. Atty W. D. Tupper
Dec	1st	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
	3 pm	and of his rights in the premises – Defendant pleads guilty as Charged – and waives the legal time for sentence –
	"	Defendant fined and Paid $75. – Defendant discharged Paid County –.
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 546.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
George Blanchard alias
H. F. Blanchard Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. D. Tupper Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	23	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dist. Atty. W. D. Tupper
Dec.	12. D.W.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads not guilty as charged Defendant held for trial in the sum of $200.00 Bond approved and filed — This Cause set for trial December the 20th 1887. at 11. O.C. A.M.
"	14.	Now comes the defendant and asks to withdraw his plea of not guilty and to plead guilty as charged — and waives the legal time for sentence — Defendant fined and paid $95.00 — and discharged —

Paid County —

S. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 547.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff	Action Misdemeanor
vs.	Demand $
Joseph Deaton Defendant	W. D. Tupper Attorney for Plaintiff
	Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	23.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dist. Atty. W. D. Tupper
	29"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
Dec.		and of his rights in the premises – Defendant pleads guilty
		as charged – and waives the legal time for sentence –
	"	Defendant fined $92.00 – Defendant paid $20.00 – and is allowed
		ten days in which to pay $72.00 –
	9"	Defendant paid the sum of $72.00 in full. & discharged
		Paid County S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 548.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
J. S. Erwin Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. D. Tupper Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	23.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dist. Atty. W. D. Tupper
	29.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
	Recd	and of his rights in the premises Defendant plead guilty
		and waived the legal time for sentence —
		Defendant fined and Paid $14.00 — and discharged —
		Paid County — S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 549.

In the Justices Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe. Walter
Edward Walters Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. D. Tupper Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	23.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dist-atty W. D. Tupper
	29.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence —
[illegible]	"	Defendant fined $77.00 and allowed until December 3d 1887 in which to pay said fine —
Dec	3d.	Now in default of the payment of said fine as agreed by said defendant — Whereas by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered that you Edward Walters be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine — S. H. Hill. J.P.
1888. Jan	7th	Defendant paid into Court the sum of $77.00 leaving a balance due on Commitment of $14.00 to be paid on the 20th day of January 1888 —
	18.	Defendant paid into Court $14.00 aggregating the sum of $91.00/100 — Case dismissed & defendant discharged Paid County — S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 5-50

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
John Doe Cadwell alias A. Cadwell Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. D. Tupper
Attorney for Plaintiff

W. D. Grady
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	23.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dist. Atty. W. D. Tupper
Ref'd	28.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises – Defendant held for his appearance to plead at 11. O.C. A.M. December 5th 1887 – in a Bond of $100.00 Bond approved and filed
1888. Jan.	3.	3. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and pleads Not guilty –
	4.	This cause is set for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. January 17th 1888 –
	"	Issued Subpoenas for the People and defendant
"	17.	11. O.C. A.M. This being the time fixed by this Court for the trial of this action – Defendant being duly and legally notified of the same – Now comes the defendant by his attorney W. D. Grady – and withdraws his plea of Not guilty – and pleads guilty – as charged – and waives the legal time for sentence – Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid – it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that the defendant – A. Cadwell be and he is hereby fined in the sum of $86.00 – and allowed until the 23. day of January 1888. to pay said fine –
	23.	Defendant paid into Court $86.00 and thereupon discharged Paid County – S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 551.

In the Justices Court of 5th Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Doe Maxwell and
John Doe Leonard
Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	23.	Complaint filed Warrant issued by Dist Atty W. D. Tupper —
	29.	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them
Pd		and of their rights in the premises — Defendants plead guilty as
		Charged and waived the legal time for sentence —
		Defendants fined and Paid $130.00 and discharged — Paid County —
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 5-5-2.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Luger Defendant

Action Assault

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	23	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Depty Const. C. W. Mann
	25th	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
[illegible]		and of his rights in the premises Defendant plead guilty
		and waived the legal time for sentence—
		Defendant fined $5.50 and allowed ten days in
		which to pay said fine—
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 553

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

E. C. Newell Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	23.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const J. A. Barker
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads Not guilty Defendant Committed to the Sheriff to await his trial
	25.	Defendant in Court and withdrew his plea of Not guilty and plead guilty and waived the legal time for sentence Defendant fined $5.00 and Paid $5.00 Paid Cost $2.50
		J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 354

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
William Pierce Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	25.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. C. P. Walter
		Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
	Ret'd.	and of his rights in the premises — Defendant Committed
		to the Sheriff of Fresno County to await his Examination
	"	This Cause is set down for examination at 11. O.C. A.M. Dec. 5th 1887.
		Issued Subpoenas for the People and defendant —
		Defendant held for his appearance for trial in a Bond
		of $500. Bond approved and filed —
Dec.	5.	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — Cause Continued until 1. O.C. P.M. —
	"	1. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court — The Prosecution filed an
		affidavit asking a Continuance — Cause Continued until
		1. O.C. P.M. Dec. 6th 1887 —
	7.	9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — and ready to proceed
		Witnesses and Interpreter sworn and examined pro
		and con Cause Closed argued and Submitted
		The Court having fully considered the same orders
		that the defendant be and he is hereby discharged
		upon the grounds in the opinion of the Court
		that the evidence is insufficient to commit —
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 555

In the Justices Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Hing Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov.	25th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
	26	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads Not guilty —
Ret'd		Cause set down for trial at 2½ O.C. P.M. Nov 26th 1887 — Defendant held for his appearance for trial in the sum of $50.00 — Bond approved and filed —
	"	3. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and the parties waive trial by Jury — Parties ready for trial — G. W. Bennett and Mrs G. W. Bennett and C. P. Walton — sworn and examined as witnesses for the People after which the prosecution closed — Henry Ah Long interpreter Ah Hing and Ah Jim sworn and examined as witnesses for the defendant — Defense closed — Cause Submitted — The Court after fully considering this whole matter finds that the Defendant is guilty as charged — and orders that the Defendant shall appear for sentence at 11. O.C. A.M. Nov 28th 1887 —
	28.	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — this being the time fixed for sentence — Defendant fined and Paid $20.00 — Paid County —

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 556.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
William Pierce
Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1877		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	26	Complaint and affidavit for Search Warrant made and filed. Search Warrant issued & given Const. Walker
Dec	5"	Warrant returned no property found

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 557

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

O. J. Meade Plaintiff

vs.

J. M. Chisum Defendant

Action Account for money had and received—

Demand $60.00 with legal interest

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	28.	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Plaintiff
"	29.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 28th day of Nov 1887. and filed—
Dec—	8th	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law—
"		In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law. Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff. It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein—
"		Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Sixty dollars the principal sum due and the sum of Seven and 44/100 dollars the legal interest thereon and the sum of Six and 50/100 dollars costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the United States
"		Judgment for the principal sum due— 60.00
		" " " legal interest thereon— 7.44
		" " " justices fees— 4.50
		" " " Constable " 2.00
		Total amount of Judgment $73.94
		S. H. Hill J.P.
"	31st	Issued Execution same and given Const— J. A. Baker

No. 558.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State *of* California

The People of California *Plaintiff*

vs.

John Doe

Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney *for Plaintiff*

Attorney *for Defendant*

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	28.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given affiant

No. 559.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

J. F. Biggs et al — Plaintiffs

vs.

R. L. Mills et al Defendants

Action Account

Demand $6.00

Church & Cary Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov	29.	Complaint filed Summons issued given Const. C. P. Walker —
Dec.	3.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendants together with a copy of the complaint on file here on the 30th day of November 1887 — and filed —
	"	This cause is dismissed having been settled in full —

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 564.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Rockfeller Frank Gamson and W. R. McCoy - Defendant

Action Malicious Mischief -

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Mar	31.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. J. S. Walker
	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises - Frank Gamson & W. R. McCoy plead guilty as charged - and waived the legal time for sentence Defendants fined and paid $5.50 each - aggregating - $11.00 - Defendant John Rockfeller pleads not guilty - and upon investigation of the charge against him the Court ordered that the defendant - John Rockfeller be and he is hereby discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict - Paid Court $11.00 -

S. H. Hill - J. P.

No. 561.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Lee Bradford Defendant

Action Insanity

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887-		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	12. Rep. fee	Affidavit made and filed. Warrant of arrest issued and given Constable C. P. Walton - returnable before Hon M. K. Harris Superior Judge Fresno County -
		J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 562.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Duncan alias John Larkins Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. D. Tupper Attorney for Plaintiff

B. Carr Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	2.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. R. Walton
"	5.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his right in the premises — Defendant held in a bond of $100 — and allowed until Dec 16th 1887 to plead
		Rep'd
"	16.	Now comes the defendant with his attorney B. Carr and pleads not guilty as charged — Cause set down for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. Dec 20th 1887 —
"	20th	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — Cause continued until 11. O.C. A.M. January 6th 1888 — in consequence of the absence of the prosecuting witness —
	27.	Issued Subpoena & gave Dept. Const. Mason for the People
1888 Jan.	6th	11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court, and on the affidavit presented by the District Attorney the Cause is continued until 11. O.C. A.M. January 25th 1888. Witnesses present and are instructed to be present in Court at said hour —
	7.	Issued Subpoena for the People —
"	25.	Defendant demands trial by jury — venire issued —
"		10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court, venire returned and filed Parties ready to proceed — Twelve jurymen tried by the Court Witnesses present and were sworn and examined as witnesses for the Parties — Cause argued and submitted to the jury for their deliberation and verdict — The jury after a short retirement — found the defendant not guilty — The Court discharged the jury and defendant

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 563.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

James Dunn — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1897		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	3.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
Affd		Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence —
"		Defendant fined $5.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you James Dunn be and you are hereby Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine.
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 564

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Marin State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

S. G. Stegall Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec	3.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. J. A. Butler
"	5.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
	[illegible]	and of his rights in the premises.
		The Court after having heard a statement of the cause
		of action and having fully considered the same
		orders that the defendant be and he is hereby
		discharged upon the ground that the defendants
		conduct does not indicate any intent to steal.
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 565

In the Justices Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Alfred Wood Otto Greeney
Chs. Smith & Wm. O. Bryan
Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	4.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dep't Const. J. J. Bartlett.
" Refd	5	Defendants in court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises — The Court after hearing the facts of this case ordered that Alfred Wood be discharged upon the grounds that said Wood is not properly a vagrant — he having means of support. That defendants Chs. Smith and Wm. O. Bryan plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence — Defendants permitted to leave the town — having been here but few hours and are on their way to Modesto —

S. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 566

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Kern Co. State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

L. H. Thompson

Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	5.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. C. P. Walter
"	18.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads not guilty as Charged Defendant held for his appearance for trial in amount of $50.00 Bond approved & filed—
1888. Jan.	4.	This Cause is set for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. January 24th 1888—
"	24.	11. O.C. A.M. Cause continued generally—

No. 567

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

J. A. Webster & M. Webster Defendants

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	5.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton
"	7.	Defendant J. A. Webster in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads not guilty — Defendant held for trial in a bond of $50.00 Bond approved & filed
Rights		
1888. Jan.	4.	This Cause is set for trial at 10 O.C. A.M. January 24th 1887.
	24.	10 O.C. A.M. Cause continued generally

No. 568

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
F. M. Smith Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	5th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walters -
" pd	8.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
		and of his rights in the premises defendant pleads not guilty -
		Defendant held for trial in the sum of $50.00 Bond approved & filed
1888. Jan.	4.	This Cause is set for trial at 10 O.C. A.M. January 24th 1888 -
	7.	Issued Subpoenas for the People & defendant -
"	24.	This Cause is Continued until 4 O.C. P.M. Jan. 24th 1888 -
		Defendant demands trial by Jury - venire issued -
"		4. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court, venire returned and filed
		Parties ready for trial. Jurors sworn to answer questions
		as to qualification - Ten Jurors were sworn to try the
		Cause and two returned on answer as to qualification -
		venire exhausted. Special venire issued -
		Court adjourned until 10. O.C. A.M. Jan 26th 1888 -
"	24.	10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court - Special venire returned & filed
"		Jurors sworn to answer question as to qualification - after which
		Seven Jurors - making twelve in all were sworn to try the
		Cause as follows - towit: Clark Stevens. John Long - Ed Green
		G. R. G. Glenn. W. S. Flanagan. Jacob Reader. J. T. Shanklin
		M. Madison. C. C. Harris. A. E. Pearson - Mark Burrell & P. H. Walters.
"		W. C. Ward sworn as witness The Original Ordinance
		passed by the Board of Supervisors read in evidence -
		O. J. Meade and W. R. Williams sworn as witnesses and
		examined by the People - F. M. Smith sworn and examined on his own behalf - - Cause Closed argued
		and submitted to the Jury for their deliberation and
		verdict - After a lengthy deliberation the Jury sent
		by the proper officer - returned into Court and stated
		that they could not agree - Jury discharged -
"		Cause Continued generally -

No. 569.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State *of* California

The People of California *Plaintiff*

vs.

L. P. Messing

Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	5th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
" Refd	8.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads not guilty as charged. Defendant held for trial in the sum of $50.00 Bond approved and filed –
1888. Jan.	4.	This cause is set for trial at 11. O.C. A.M January 24th 1888 –
	24.	11. O.C. A.M. Cause Continued generally –

No. 570

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Doe Pool. and Richd. Roe Pool
M. A. & A. E. Pool
Defendants

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec	5th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton
"	7.	A. E. Pool in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads not guilty. Defendant held for trial in a bond of $100.00 Bond approved and filed—
Rift		
	8.	Defendant M. A. Pool in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant plead not guilty. Defendant held for his appearance for trial in the sum of $100.00 Bond approved and filed—
1888. Jan.	4th	This Cause is set for trial at 10. O'C. A.M. January 27th 1888—
	7.	Issued Subpoenas for the People & defendants—
	27.	10. O'C. A.M. Cause Continued generally—

No. 571

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Sam Davis and Sol Davis Defendants

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. Ref	5th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const C. P. Walton

No. 572

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Wheeler Wilson Mfg Co Plaintiffs

vs.

Simon Henry Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $85.00

G. E. Church Attorney for Plaintiff

Goucher & Geer Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	6th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walton
"	7.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 7th day of Dec. 1887 and filed.
"	11.	Defendant files his demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein
14.	14	Parties in Court and by consent demurrer withdrawn. Defendant allowed all day Dec. 15th 1887 to answer.
	19.	Now comes the Defendant by his attorneys Goucher & Geer and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff Complaint contained.
1888. Jan	6th	By consent and upon stipulation of Counsel this cause is hereby transferred to the Court of Phillip Stuart Justice of the Peace in and for 3. Township County of Fresno California. Whereupon in obedience to said stipulation I at once hand the papers in this action to said Phillip Stuart J.P. of said Township County and State aforesaid. S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 5 73

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

H. Miller
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	6.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Depy. Const. J. L. Walton.
"Retd"	7	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads not guilty as charged. Defendant held for trial in the sum of $500.00 Bond approved and filed –
1888. Jan.	4	This Cause set for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. January 18th 1888 –
"	"	Issued Subpoenas for the People and Defendant.
"	16.	On motion of the District Attorney this cause is dismissed upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to convict –
"		E. H. Hill J. P.

No. 574.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John R. Griffith Defendant

Action Insanity

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec- 17.	[illegible]	Affidavit made and filed. Warrant of arrest issued and given Deputy Constable J. F. Morgan returnable before Hon M. K. Harris Superior Judge of Fresno County — S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 575

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

J. D. Morgan

Plaintiff

vs.

D. K. Shafer & John Doe Alexander

Defendants

Action Account

Demand $ 30.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 7	Complaint filed

No. 576.

In the Justices Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Robbins Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	8th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. F. L. Walton.
pd	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence.
	"	Defendant fined $6.00 and allowed until Dec. 18th 1887 to pay said fine — [illegible] in the end.
		S H Hill J.P.

No. 577.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

J. V. Curd Plaintiff	Action Account
vs.	Demand $5 10/100
J. B. Fiske Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff
	Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	8th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walker.
	"	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint herein on the 8th day of December 1887 and filed —
"	14.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
	"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law now at this day on application of the Plaintiff It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein —
	"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Five and 10/100 dollars the amount sued for: and the sum of Six and 50/100 dollars costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the United States
	"	Judgment for the Principal sued for — 5.10
		" " Justices fees — 4.50
		" " Constable " 2.00
	"	Total amount of Judgment — $11.60
		S. H. Hill J.P.
	16.	By order of the Plaintiff this case is dismissed having been paid in full —
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 578.

In the Justices Court of 3" Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
John Doe Johnson
Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	8"	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given the Affiant—
"	9. a.m.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises— After investigation—the Court ordered that the defendant be discharged upon the grounds of mistaken identity— S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 579.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State – of California

James Porteous Plaintiff

vs.

F. A. Munro Defendant

Action Account –

Demand $ 71.00/100

C. G. Sayle Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	9th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walter Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. C. P. Walter.
"	12th	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 10th day of Dec – 1887. and filed –
"		Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed –
"		Case dismissed by order of Plaintiff –
		D. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 5811

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Robbins
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const C. P. Walter
"	12.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads guilty and waived the legal time for sentence —
Rep		
	"	Defendant for said offense is hereby fined in the sum of Thirty ($30.00) Dollars and in default of the payment of said fine you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine —

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 581.

In the Justices Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Jacob Myer Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Dept. Const. J. C. Morgan
Refd	11.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises – Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence –
	"	Defendant for said offence is hereby fined in the sum of $6.00 – and in default of the payment of said fine you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine –
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 582.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Luis Han Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	9°	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. J. D. Morgan – Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises – Defendant plead guilty – and waived the legal time for sentence –
Retd	"	Defendant Committed to Jail for five days –
		E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 583 —

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. — Kelley — Defendant

Action Embezzlement —

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
"	17.	Defendant in court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises —
Rep'd	"	Now comes the party injured before the Court before the trial and acknowledges that she has received satisfaction for the injury done her — and on payment of the costs incurred — I order all proceedings to be stayed upon the prosecution — and the defendant to be discharged therefrom —
		Costs — $5.50 Paid County — S. H. Hill, J.P.

No. 584.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
John Doe alias Brown Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	9.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. J. J. Bassett.
Refd	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence. Defendant permitted to leave the town.
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 585

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Mono State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Downey alias John H. Downey Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	14.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton
Deft.	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence —
	"	Defendant, for this offense you are hereby fined in the sum of Twenty dollars - and in default of the payment of said fine you are hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Mono and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 5-86.

In the Justices Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
M. W. Hitchcock Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. D. Tupper
Attorney for Plaintiff

Martin & Short
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	11.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant plead not guilty — Defendant held for his appearance for trial in a bond of $100.00 Bond approved & filed —
Ref'd	"	This cause by consent is set for trial at 11. O'c. A.M. Dec. 22d 1887. Witnesses instructed to be present at said hour —
"	14.	Issued Subpoena for Defendant — given Constable —
"	22.	11. O'c. A.M. Defendant in Court — Parties waive trial by jury and say they are ready for trial — Witnesses sworn and examined pro and con Cause closed argued and submitted — The Court after having fully examined the same orders that the defendant be and he is hereby discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is in the opinion of the Court insufficient to convict —

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 587

In the Justices Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

M. W. Hitchcock Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. D. Tupper Attorney for Plaintiff

Wheaton & Short Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec	10.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walter.
Rep'd	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant filed his demurrer to the Plaintiff Complaint - Demurrer argued and Submitted The Court took the same under advisement
	"	By consent of Parties this Cause is set for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Dec 22d 1887. Subject to the disposition of Said demurrer
	22.	The Court having fully considered the demurrer in this action - orders that the demurrer be sustained and the Cause dismissed -

E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 588.

In the Justices Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Albert Philip —

Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. D. Tupper

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	12	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Watts
"	19.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence —
Rep'd		
	"	Defendant fined in the sum of $101.00 — Defendant paid in to Court the sum of $25.00 — and is allowed until January 1st 1888 to pay the sum of $76.00 — a balance due on said fine — Paid County $25.00 —
1888. Jan	6th	Defendant by his atty — Paid in Court $76.00 Amount due in full — Paid County —

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 5-89.

In the Justices Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

J. M. Smith & Robert Laramie Defendants

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. D. Tupper Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	10th	Complaint filed Warrant Issued & given Const. C. P. Walton
Repd "	14.	Defendant Robert Laramie in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant plead guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence.
	"	Defendant Robert Laramie for said offense is hereby fined in the sum of $78.00 Defendant at once paid said fine and discharged. — Paid County.
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 591

In the Justices Court of 3rd Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

James Bethel Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. D. Tupper Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	14.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton
	16.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant plead not guilty
1888. Jan	4.	This Cause is set for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. January 30th 1888.
"	30.	10. O.C. A.M. - Defendant failed to appear for trial. It was therefore ordered that an attachment be issued for said defaulting defendant and that he show cause why he should not be punished for contempt - in disobeying the mandate of said Court
Feb.	13.	Defendant in Court and taxed with the cost of his arrest on attachment -
"		This Cause set for trial Feb 28th 1888. at 10. O.C. A.M. - Defendant gave bond for his appearance in the sum of $150.00 - Bond approved and filed -
"	21.	Issued Subpa for the People and given Const.
"	28.	10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court. Cause continued until 1. O.C. P.M.
"		1. O.C. P.M. - Defendant in Court - Defendant filed his demurrer to the Complaint on file herein - Demurrer argued and submitted. The Court took the same under advisement until 10. O.C. A.M. - Mch 5th 1888 -
Mch.	5.	10. O.C. A.M. Defendant discharged -

E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 591.

In the Justices Court of 3 Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Peter Gally, Frank Smith, Frank McBy, Henry Kelly, John McDonnell, Frank Burton, Ed Gray, John Smith, John Flynn, Geo. Jones & Frank Emmerson Defendants

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	12th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton —
"	13th	Defendant Peter Gally in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant discharged upon the grounds that he was permitted to remain on the Cars by the Conductor of said train —
	"	Defendants in Court — Same and except Peter Gally — and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises — defendants guilty as charged — Defendants each of them fined in the sum of $5.00 each — and in default of the payment of said fine each of them that they be and are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine each of them —

S. H. Hill, J.P.

No. 592

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Mono State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

P. Le Blanc Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec 12.	Complaint filed warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
[illegible] "	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant plead guilty and waived the legal time for sentence.
"	Defendant fined and paid $5.00 & discharged. Paid Costs.

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 593.

In the Justices Court of Ba Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

James McNie & Elisha Gannon Defendants

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec	13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walker.
" pd	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises Defendants plead ~~not~~ guilty as Charged and waive the legal time for sentence.
	"	Defendants fined and Paid $5.50 each aggregating the sum of $11.00 and discharged
		Paid County.
		J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 594.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

James Armstrong—
Plaintiff
vs.
W. S. Vanderburgh & John C. Peterson
administrators of the Estate of H. G. Peterson deceased
Defendants

Action Note of Secured and Atty fee

Demand $90.00 — $25.00 Atty fee

C. G. Sayle
Attorney for Plaintiff

J. G. Rhodes
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	13.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
	16.	Now comes the defendants by their attorney J. G. Rhodes and file their demurrer to the Plaintiff Complaint on file herein on the grounds that said Complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a Cause of Action—
1888. Jan.	5th	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant J. C. Peterson together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 14th day of Dec. 1887. and filed—
"	12th	4. O'C. P. M. Parties in court. Demurrer argued submitted and overruled. Defendants Except—
	"	This Cause by consent of Parties set for trial at 10. O'C A. M. Feby 7th 1888
	"	Now comes the defendants by his attorney J. G. Rhodes and for answer denies each and every material allegation the Plaintiffs Complaint contained—
Feb.	7	This Cause continued until Feb. 15th at 10. O'C A. M.—
"	15th	10. O'C A. M. Parties by their attorneys in Court. Defendants attorney agrees that judgment be entered herein against defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff Complaint on file herein—
	"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendants the sum of Ninety dollars the amount sued for and the sum of Thirteen and 80/100 the interest thereon and the sum of Twenty-five dollars attorneys fees and the further sum of Ten and 70/100 dollars costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action in Gold Coin of the United States to be paid in due course of administration of the Estate of H. G. Peterson deceased—

"	Judgment for the Principal of the Note sued on	90.00
	" " " Interest thereon	13.80
	" " " Justices fees	7.50
	" " " Attorneys fees	25.00
	" " " Constable "	3.20
"	Total amount of Judgment	$139.20

April 24th 1888. Issued Plff Counsel abstract

J. H. Hill J.P.

See Page 227—

No. 595

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Marin State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Wiggins

Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton
	15.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises – Defendant pleads not guilty – Cause set for trial at 7. O.C. P.M. Dec – 17th 1887 –
	17.	Defendant demands trial by jury – venire issued – Issued subpoena –
	"	7. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court – venire returned and filed Parties ready for trial – Jury sworn to answer questions as to qualification – after which by consent of Parties Eleven Jury men sworn to try the cause – Witnesses sworn and examined pro and con – Cause closed argued and submitted to the jury for their deliberation and verdict, after a short retirement the jury were returned into Court – agreeing to acquit the Defendant – Jury and defendant discharged – E. H. Hill J. P.
Jan. Page 229.	"	Summons issued to V. D. Vandenburgh to show cause why he should not be bound by the judgment in the same manner as though he had been originally served with summons – in the above entitled action to wit: James Armstrong Plaintiff against V. D. Vandenburgh & J. C. Peters, administrator of the estate of H. G. Peters deceased Defendants –
Mch.	22.	V. D. Vandenburgh in Court and states to the Court that he has no cause whatever to show why he should not be bound in the same manner as though he had been originally served with summons in the above entitled action – by a judgment given and rendered by said Court on the 15th day of January 1888 in favor of James Armstrong the above named Plaintiff against J. C. Petersen administrator of the estate of H. G. Petersen deceased for the sum of Ninety dollars the Principal sum and Thirteen and 54/100 dollars interest thereon & $25.00 attorney fees & $11.70/100 costs of suit – E. H. Hill J. P.

No. 596.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno County State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Miles Esperon

Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. D. Tupper

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1897		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	13"	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton

No. 597

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Mono State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Andy Davidson

Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. L. Dupper

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. Sept	13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.

No. 598.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Ah Sam
Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. D. Tupper
Attorney for Plaintiff

Hinds & Merriam
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walker.
" [illegible]	16.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant held for his appearance for trial in a bond of $100.00 — Bond approved and filed —
	17.	Now comes the defendant with his attorneys and pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence —
"		Defendant for this offence is hereby fined in the sum of $95.00 Same paid — Defendant discharged — Paid County —
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 599.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Sam Wah Kee — Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. D. Tupper Attorney for Plaintiff

Hinds & Menan Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walker.
"Ret'd"	16.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant held for his appearance for trial in sum of $100.00 — Bond approved & filed —
	17.	Now Comes the Defendant with his attorneys and pleads guilty as charged — and waives the legal time for sentence — Defendant for this offense is hereby fined and Paid — $95.00 Defendant discharged — Paid County —.

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 600 –

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Bart Johnson Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

W. D. Tupper Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	13.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walker.
"	15.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant plead guilty as charged and waived the legal time for sentence.
"		Defendant for said offence is hereby fined in the sum of $77.00 — Defendant allowed five days in which to pay said fine — from this date — Said fine to be paid Dec. 20th. 1887 —
	20.	Defendant failing and refusing to pay said fine Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that you Bart Johnson in default of the payment of said fine, that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine — S. H. Hill J.P.
1888. Jan	11.	Defendant filed his Notice and Bond on appeal to the Superior Court. — Defendant by the Court ordered released to await his trial in the Superior Court. S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 691

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Kutner Goldstein & Co. Plaintiff

vs.

G. Kreyenhagen Defendant

Action Account

Demand $44.54/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	16.	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Const. C. P. Walton.
"	19.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 17th day of Dec 1887 and filed this day
1888. Jan	6th	This cause Paid in full and dismissed — J.P. fees Paid — S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 612.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Kutner Goldstein & Co
Plaintiffs
vs.
C. Larson
Defendant

Action Account.

Demand $39.58/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887 PROCEEDINGS.

Dec. 16. Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walker.

17. Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 17th day of December 1887. And filed –

" 31. In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law

" In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law. Now at this day on application of the Plaintiffs It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein –

" Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Thirty nine and 58/100 dollars the principal sum for and the sum of Two and 77/100 interest thereon and the sum of Six and 50/100 Costs necessarily incurred in this action in lawful money of the United States –

" Judgment for the Principal sum for – 39.58
" " " Interest thereon – 2.77
" " " Justices fees – 4.50
" " " Constable " 2.00
" Total amount of Judgment – $48.85

S. H. Hill J.P. –

1888. Jan 5. Issued abstract of judgment and given Plaintiff –

Feb 4. This case dismissed having been paid in full –

S H Hill J.P.

No. 603.

In the Justices Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Peter Smith Wm. Golden Sherman Tunlee, Frank Pelter & John Sowee — Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	16.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. F. L. Walton
Repw	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence —
"	"	Defendants permitted to at once leave the Town —

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 644

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Malcum Cowen N. H. Champlen & V. A. Cowen Defendants

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	16.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walter
Rept	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants plead Not guilty — Defendants deposited with the Court the sum of ten dollars each for their appearance for trial —
"	17.	Now Comes the Defendants Malcum Cowen & N. H. Champlen and ask to withdraw their plea of Not guilty and to plead guilty as charged — and Defendants waive the legal time for sentence —
	"	Defendants fined and Paid \$6.00 each — & Discharged — after examining the Case of Defendant V. Cowen — The Court orderd that said defendant be and he is hereby discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict — Paid County \$12.00 Paid County —

B. H. Hill J.P.

No. 615

In the Justices Court of 3rd Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

C. Schmidt Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec	17th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton —
"	19.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises —
[illegible] "	"	Now comes the party injured before the trial of this action and acknowledges that he has received satisfaction for the injury done him and on payment of the costs incurred I order all proceedings to be stayed on the part of the prosecution and the defendant to be discharged — Paid Costs $5.50 E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 644.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Mrs. Bell Mason Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs. Sarah McPherson

Defendant

Action Replevin.

Demand $1.00 — Damages $1.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	19.	Complaint on Claim and delivery filed. Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walton – Affidavit and Undertaking on Claim and delivery Made & given Const. C. P. Walton.
	"	Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file here on the 19th day of Dec 1887. and filed.
	"	Affidavit and Undertaking on Claim and delivery returned and filed –
	"	Cause dismissed by order of Plaintiff.

D. H. Hill

No. 607.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

C. M. Blackman Plaintiff

vs.

John Von Bernhardt Defendant

Action Account

Demand $21^{50}

Wheeler & Short Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	19	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Dept. Const. J. S. Walton
	"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Dept. Const. J. S. Walton

No. 608.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno — State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Richard Wilson, James Kline & Joseph Kelly — Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	21.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. F. F. Bartlett — Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises defendants plead not guilty and ready for trial — Witnesses sworn and Examined pro and con — Case closed The Court after having fully considered the same finds that the defendants are guilty as charged and ordered to appear for sentence at 4. O'C. P. M. this day —
Ref'd		
"	"	4. O'C. P. M. Defendants in Court this being the time fixed for sentence — Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Richard Wilson, Jas. Kline and Joseph Kelly be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of twenty days you and each of you

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 619.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

A. L. Lanick Defendant

Action Assault with deadly weapon

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	20th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
Rept 1/6	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant held for Examination in a bond of $500 — Bond approved & filed —
	23.	This cause is hereby dismissed and defendant discharged upon the grounds of the insufficiency of the Complaint on file herein —

S. H. Hill. J.P. —

No. 610.

In the Justices Court of ③ Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
David Ward Defendant

Action Assault with intent to murder

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	20.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const.
Ref. "	22.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — The Court requires that the Defendant give bond in the sum of Five Thousand ($5000.00) for his appearance for Examination before this or any other Court —
"	23	Bond given approved and filed — Defendant ordered released from the Custody of the Sheriff by virtue of said Bond —
1888. Jan	4th	This Cause is set for Examination January 26th 1888 —
	"	Cause Continued until Feb. 1888 by consent of the Defendant — 11 O.C. A.M. Issued Subpoena for the Defendant —
Feb.	3	Continued until 7. O.C. P.M. Feb. 3rd 1888 —
"	"	7. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for his Examination — Wm. R. Lynch — Ellis Draper E. M. McLaughlin and S. M. Smoot. Sworn and Examined as witnesses for the People prosecution Closed — Defendant waives the Examination of any witness — Cause Submitted — The Court took the matter under advisement —
"	4	10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — The Court having fully considered this matter — and It appearing to me that the offence of an assault with a deadly weapon has been committed upon the person of one Wm. R. Lynch — and that there is sufficient cause to believe the above named David Ward guilty thereof — I order that he be held to answer the same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno — and that he be admitted to bail in the sum of One Thousand dollars — and he is committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno until he give such bail —

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 611

In the Justices Court of 3d Township,

County of Marin State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

G. M. Staton & Frank Carter Defendants

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	21st	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. J. N. Bartlett.
"	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them
Rept	"	and of their rights in the premises — Defendants plead not guilty — Defendants acquitted upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict —
		D. H. Hill, J.P.

No. 612.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Charles Seeger
Plaintiff
vs.
Charles Leitzen
Defendant

Action Account

Demand $8.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	22	Complaint filed Summons Issued to Const. C. P. Walton
	"	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 22nd day of December 1887. and filed —
	27.	Now comes the defendant by himself in proper person and files answer denying each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff Complaint —

No. 413.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno - State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

M. J. Newton. John Smith.
Robert Wall. Geo. Wilson and
Frank Artedo - Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	23.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walker.
"	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them
Rept "	"	and of their rights in the premises - Defendants plead guilty as Charged - and waived the legal time for Sentence -
	"	Defendants allowed at once to leave the Town -

S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 614.

In the Justices Court of 3 Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
J. A. Johnson — Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	23.	Complaint and affidavit made and filed — Search Warrant issued and given Depty Const. L. F. Mitchell
Dep't		Search Warrant returned with the property therein described in the Complaint —
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 615

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

J. A. Johnson – Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	23.	Complaint – filed Warrant – issued & given Dept. Const. S. F. Mitchell
	〃	Defendant in Court – and informed of the Charge against him
Rept		and of his right in the premises – Defendant pleads guilty
〃		as Charged – and waives the legal time for Sentence –
	〃	Defendant for said offense is hereby fined in the sum
		of Twenty ($20.00) Dollars –
	〃	Now Comes N. F. Pickle in open Court – and assumes the
		payment of said fine – and is allowed until Dec. the
		27th to pay said fine –
	〃	Now Comes N. F. Pickle and pays said fine into Court –
		Defendant discharged – Paid County –
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 616.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Mono State of California

Louis Einstein & Co. — Plaintiff

vs.

J. B. Wilson — Defendant

Action Account —

Demand $121.07/100 —

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	23.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Dept. Const. Mason
	"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Mason
Feb.	1.	Received in this action by the hands of J. H. Walker the sum of $125.00 —

No. 617

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The Puget Sound Lumber Co
Plaintiffs
vs.
G. H. Walley
Defendant

Action Note of hand with attorneys fees—

Demand $250.00 and $35.00 atty fees—

H. C. Tupper
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887. PROCEEDINGS.

Dec. 24. Complaint filed Summons issued & given Dept. Const. L. P. Winchell
" Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed, Writ of attachment issued & given Dept. Const. L. P. Winchell

1888. Jan. 11th Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 11th day of January 1888. & filed—
Writ of attachment executed returned and filed—

18. The default of the defendant is hereby entered according to law.
" In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's complaint on file herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law. Now at this day on application of H. C. Tupper attorney for Plaintiff It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's complaint on file herein—
" Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Two hundred and fifty dollars the amount of the note sued on and the sum of Sixty dollars the interest thereon and the sum of Thirty-five dollars attorneys fees and the further sum of Eight and 50/100 dollars Justices fees Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of the action. in Gold Coin of the United States.

" Judgment for the note sued on	250.00
" " " Interest thereon	60.00
" " " Attorneys fees—	35.00
" " " Justices "	8.50
" " Total amount of Judgment	$353.50

S. H. Hill. J.P.

23. Issued Execution and given Plaintiff attorney—

Feb. 8. This case Satisfied and dismissed By order of Plff's atty. all fees paid—

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 618-

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

David Lyon - Tom. Keegan, Dan. Kraus & John Mervin Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1889		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. J. D. Walton
Refd	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises Defendants plead guilty as charged and waive the legal time for sentence —
	"	Defendant permitted to at once leave the town —

S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 619

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Action Account

H. B. Choice Plaintiff

vs.

A. P. Adams Defendant

Demand $ 7.50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	24.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walker
Jan - 1888.	9.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 6th day of January 1888 and filed
	12.	This cause paid in full and dismissed

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 621.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Doe alias
Pierre Voirron
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Depty Const. T. T. Bartlett
Rep't	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
		and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads guilty
		as charged and waives the legal time for sentence —
	"	Defendant permitted to at once leave the town —
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 621.

In the Justices Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

A. Crane & John Doe Brown Defendants

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. J. B. Morgan
" Rept "	28.	Defendant A. Crane in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant plead guilty as charged and waived the legal time for sentence —
	"	Defendant fined and Paid $49.00 — and discharged Paid County S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 622

In the Justices Court of 5th Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
William Bolitho
Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton
"	28.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against
p.m.		him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant
		held for his appearance for Examination in the
		Sum of $500.00 Bond approved and filed —
1888. Jan.	4.	This cause set for Examination at 11. O.C. A.M. January 31st 1888.
	7.	Issued Subpoena for the People and defendant –
	31.	This cause is hereby dismissed by the Court in
		furtherance of Justice to the defendant – the evidence
		being insufficient to Convict –

S. H. Hill J.P. –

No. 623.

In the Justices Court of 3rd Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Donahoo Emmons & Co –
Plaintiffs

vs.

Francisca Lobalez and
Escolastico Borboa
Defendants

Action Note of hand and Interest –

Demand $75.00 – & Interest

Attorney for Plaintiff

Wharton & Short –
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	24.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const C. P. Walker
"		Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const C. P. Walker
"	30.	Defendant Estibastico Borboa files his demurrer to the Plaintiffs complaint on file herein –
1888. Jan.	3.	Defendant Estibastico Borboa ~~and~~ files his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein –

No. 624

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Mono State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

E. H. Brown Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	26.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const: C. P. Walton
pltff	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant held for trial on his own recognizance —
1888 Jan.	4.	This cause is set for Examination at 11. O.C. A.M. ~~January~~ Feb. 2. 1888.
	7.	Issued Subpoenas for the People & defendant —
Feb. "	2.	This cause is dismissed in consequence of the absence of the prosecuting witness or the whereabouts of said witness at this time —

J. H. Hill J.P. —

No. 625

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Anderson & M. J. Maynee

Defendant s

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	26	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Depty. Const. W. W. Bartlett
Rept	27.	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them
"		and of their rights in the premises — Defendants plead guilty —
		and waive the legal time for sentence —
	"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
		it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you
		and each of you be and you are hereby Committed
		to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and
		by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said
		County for a period of ten days each of you —
		J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 624.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Annie Allen & John Doe alias Henry Carter —
Defendants

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

R. B. Fry
Attorney for Plaintiff

W. D. Grim —
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	27.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. J. A. Barker
Rep'd "		Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises — Defendants plead not guilty as charged — Defendants Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Fresno County to await their trial in default of a Bond in the sum of $50.00 each of them —
Filed "		
"		Annie Allen deposited $25.00 for her appearance for trial —
"	27.	This case set down for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. Dec. 28th 1887.
	28.	11. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court and waived trial by jury — Defendants ready for trial — — John Williams and J. A. Barker sworn and examined as witnesses for the People prosecution closed — Annie Allen — Herman Gerline and Henry Carter sworn and examined for the defense — Cause closed argued and submitted — The Court after having fully considered the same — find the defendants guilty as charged — Defendant — Annie Allen — waived the legal time for sentence — Defendant Annie Allen for said offense fined and paid $10.00 — and discharged Paid Cty — Defendant — Henry Carter ordered to appear for sentence at 11. O.C. A.M. Dec. 29th 1887 —
	29.	10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — this being the time fixed for Sentence — Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Henry Carter be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $25.00 — and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno — and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of One day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine

S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 627

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Doe
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887			PROCEEDINGS.
Dec		27.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. J. A. Barker
		"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
	Rec'd	"	and of his rights in the premises — Defendant plead guilty — as charged — and waived the legal time for sentence —
		"	Defendant fined — and Paid $6.00 — Paid Cnty —
			J. H. Hill J.P. —

No. 628.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
William Simmons
Defendant

Action Assault

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	27.	Affidavit of J. A. Barth made and filed asking for a warrant of arrest. Warrant issued & given Const. J. A. Barth returnable before the Hon. M. K. Harris Superior Judge.
paper		E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 629.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

A. Metrovich & Co. Plaintiff

vs.

James Dillon Defendant

Action Account

Demand $42.00

W. D. Grady
Attorney for Plaintiff

Hinds & Duncan
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	27.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. J. A. Barker
	"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. J. A. Barker Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 27th day of Dec 1887 and filed —
	"	Writ of attachment issued executed returned and filed.
	28.	Defendant files his demurrer to the Plaintiff Complaint.
1888. Jan.	17.	Demurrer sustained — Plaintiff files his amended Complaint —
Feb.	6.	Defendant files his answer to the Plaintiff amended Complaint on file herein —
Aug.	29.	This Case is dismissed by order of Plaintiff & defendant paying Cost —. S. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 634

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe

Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Refd Dec.	27.	Complaint filed Warrant Issued & give Court J. A. Barker

No. 631.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Robert Smith Plaintiff

vs.

Tony Chung Defendant

Action Account

Demand $238 00/100

M. Farly Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	27.	Complaint filed Summons issued and given Const. C. P. Walker
	"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. C. P. Walker.
	28"	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 28th day of December 1887 and filed
	"	Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed
	"	This case by order of the Plaintiff is dismissed

S. Hubbell J.P.

No. 632.

In the Justices Court of 3rd Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff vs. C. H. Bradley Defendant	Action Misd Demand $ Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	Septr 27.	Complaint filed Warrant issued to Const. J. A. Burton

No. 633.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Mono State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

C. H. Bradley Defendant

Action Insane

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec 27. Rept	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walker.

No. 634

In the Justices Court of B.. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

C. H. Bradley

Defendant

Action Insane

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 27.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton

No. 635 —

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Sam Glenn Defendant

DATE. 1887.		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	28	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. J. L. Buttell
Reptd	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant plead guilty as charged and waived the legal time for sentence —
	"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Sam Glenn be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said for a period of five days —
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 636

In the Justices Court of 5 Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Alfred Brown, Harry Butler
and John Hurley
Defendants

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	28	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Spl Const. F. J. Bartlett. Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants plead guilty as Charged. And waived the legal time for Sentence. Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you and each of you be and you are each of you fined in the sum of $5.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you and each you be and you are each of you Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County jail of said County in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said you and each of you S. H. Hill J.P.
Ref'd		

No. 637.

In the Justices Court of Bu Township,

County of Mono State of California

Action Account

Wm Wilkinson
Plaintiff

vs.

G. J. Bohanon —
Defendant

Demand $20 75/100

Griffith & Curtan —
Attorney for Plaintiff

Church & Carey
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec —	28.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
"		Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. C. P. Walton —
"		Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant — together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 28th day of Dec — 1887. and filed —
		Writ of attachment executed returned & filed —
1888. Jan.	3	Now comes the defendant by his attorneys Church & Corey — and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff complaint contained on file herein —
"	5.	This cause by consent set for trial at 9. O.C. A.M. Feb 1st 1888 —
	31.	Issued Subpoena for and gave defendant —
Feb.	1.	10. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court. Subpoena returned and filed — Parties ready for trial and waive trial by jury —
"		Wm Wilkinson W. M. Muller and E. E. Calhoun sworn and examined as witnesses for the Plaintiff — Prosecution closed — G. J. Bohanan sworn and examined as a witness in his own behalf — Defense closed — Wm Wilkinson called in rebuttal by the Prosecution — Case closed argued and submitted. The Court took the same under advisement —
"	3.	10. O.C. A.M. The Court having fully considered the matter — Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $20.75. the amount sued for and the sum of $ costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the United States —
"		Judgment for the principal sued for — 20.75
		" " " Justice fees — 11.00
		" " " Constable " 6.80
		Witness E. E. Calhoun — 1 day — 2.00
		" W. M. Muller " " 2.00
"		Total amount of judgment — $42.55
		S. H. Hill J. P.
"	4.	Plaintiff paid his cost bill $21.80 —

Judgment credited by $35.00 Paid Mch 26th 1888 —

No. 638.

In the Justices Court of 3 Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Action Murder

State of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Jensen
Defendant

Demand $

R.B. [illegible]
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887—		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	Recd 28.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Barker—
1888. Jan	4"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno to await his Examination
"		This Cause is set for Examination at 2. O.C. P.M. January 13th 1888—
	5.	Issued Subpoena for the People and defendant.
"	13.	2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and by consent of Defendant this Examination is continued until 1. O.C. P.M. Jan 13th 1888—
"		Cause continued until 7. O.C. P.M. this day
"		7. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial — James Hagan sworn and Examined for the People as a witness — People Closed — John H. Earl sworn and Examined as a witness on behalf of the defendant — Cause Closed and submitted — The Court after having fully considered the same and It appearing to me that the offence Charged in the Complaint on file herein (to wit: Murder) has been committed — and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named defendant John Jensen Guilty thereof. I order that he be held to answer to the same and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County Fresno without Bonds —

This January the 13th 1888.

J. H. [illegible] J.P.

No. 639

In the Justices Court of 3rd Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Edwin Stuart — Defendant

Action Burglary

Demand $

G. E. Caldwell
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec —	28.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. F. Z. Bartlett.
〃 Refer 〃	29.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant Committed to the Sheriff to await his examination —
〃		Case set for examination at 1. O.C. P.M. Dec 29th 1887 —
		Issued Subpoena for the People and gave Constable —
〃		1. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and ready for Examination
〃		J. S. Sanford G. W. McConnell sworn and Examined as witnesses for the prosecution — People Closed —
		Defendant Edwin Stuart sworn and examined as a witness in his own behalf — Case submitted to the Court — The Court took the same under advisement until 1. O.C. P.M. Dec — 30th 1887 —
〃	31.	1. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court. The Court having fully considered this Matter — Now orders that the defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to Commit

E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 649

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Jack Patterson Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	29.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walker
Retd.	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendants pleads not guilty — Defendant held for trial in the sum of $200.00 Bond approved and filed —
1888. Jan.	4.	This Cause is set for trial at 11. O.C. a.m January 20th 1888 —
	7	Issued subpoena for the People and defendant.
	9"	Defendant files his affidavit asking a change of venue
	"	Venue allowed and cause transferred to the Court of Phillip Stuart — Justice of the Peace of 3. Township

S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 641.

In the Justices Court of 3rd Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Mike Burns — Plaintiff

vs.

Robert Baird, Adam Baird Gilbert Baird & Henry Baird Defendants

Action Damages —

Demand $100.00

Griffith & Curtain Attorney for Plaintiff

Church & Cory Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.	
Dec —	29.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walter —	
"	31.	Summons returned showing personal service on all of the Defendants together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 31st day of December 1887 — and filed —	
1888 — Jan	3	Defendants file their answer and Cross Complaint to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein —	
"	5.	This Cause by consent set for trial at 9 O.C. A.M. Feb 3rd 1888 —	
	"	Defendant files his offer to take judgment for Plaintiff —	
Feb.	1.	Issued Subpoena for the Plaintiff and given Const. Morgan	
	"	" " " Defendant & given Defendant —	
"	3rd.	11 O.C. A.M. Parties in Court. Subpoenas returned and filed. Parties waive trial by Jury — and ready to proceed with the trial — Hans Lawson, Mike Burns — Levi Wright — Sworn and examined as witnesses for the prosecution — Prosecution Closed — John Baird — J. L. Walter — [illegible] Baird — Henry [illegible] Dean Curran — S. J. Hinder — Sworn and examined as witnesses for the defense — Defense Closed — Cause Closed argued and Submitted — The Court took the same under advisement —	
"	8th	11 O.C. A.M. The Court having fully considered this matter — wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the above named defendants the Sum of $10.00 amount of damages allowed by the Court and the Sum of $49.10 Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the United States —	
	"	Judgment for the amount allowed by the Court —	10.00
		" " " Justices fees —	9.00
		" " " Constables " —	7.40
		Witness. Levi Wright 1 one day and fee 1 mi	3.00
		" Gilbert Wright " " " "	3.00
		" Hans Lawson " " "	2.00
	"	Total amount of judgment —	$34.40
		J. H. Hill — J.P.	
	10.	Pltffs filed Cost Bill — $49.10 —	

Defendant filed his notice of appeal Feb 27th 1888 —
" " Undertaking " Mch 1st 1888
Papers sent to Clerk —

No. 642.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Jane Doe Myrtle — alias Myrtle Dean —
Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887 PROCEEDINGS.

Dec. 29. Retd. Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. J. N. Bartlett
31. Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against her and of her rights in the premises. Defendant plead not guilty. Case set for trial at 11 O.C. A.M. Dec. 31st 1887.
" 11 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and says She is ready for trial. Witnesses sworn and examined Evidence all in Cause submitted. The Court after having fully considered the same finds the defendant guilty as charged. Defendant waives the legal time for sentence. Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid Defendant fined and Paid $17.00 — Paid County —

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 643

In the Justices Court of 3rd Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Smith Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	Rptr 29.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walker

No. 644

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Louis Gobbi Plaintiff

vs.

John Cerini Defendant

Action Account

Demand $165.00

Goucher & Grier Attorneys for Plaintiff

Tupper & Tupper & [illegible] Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec—	30.	Complaint filed—
1888. Jan	14.	Now comes the defendant—by his attorney Tupper & Tupper and files his answer to the plaintiff's complaint herein—
"		This cause set down for trial at 11. O'c. A.M. Feby 10th 1888—
"	25	Issued subpoena for the defendant & gave to Dept. Const. J. C. Morgan
Feb—	10.	10. O'c. A.M. Parties in Court—Cause continued until 1. O'c. P.M.— Plaintiff demanding trial by jury—venire issued
"	"	1. O'c. P.M.— Parties in Court—venire and subpoena returned and filed—Parties ready for trial—Jurors sworn to answer questions as to qualification after which by consent of parties the following jurors were sworn to try the cause to wit:— Wm. Adams. J.H. Walton. Henry Jones. H.A. Price— G.B. Tyolman— K.M. Bell. J.M. Borden and J.M. Bell — — Louis Gobbi— Fitzpatrick. John Manning— P.L. Rea. J.H. Clark. & Eugene Thompson sworn and examined for the Plff.— Plaintiff Rests— John Cerini— A. Marutta. Joe Mungetti—sworn as interpreter Mark Adams— Defense Closed— Cause Closed and submitted to the jury for their deliberation and verdict—
	"	The jury after some time spent in deliberation were returned into Court and informed the Court that it seemed impossible to reach an agreement and asked to be discharged— The Court ordered that said jury be and they are hereby discharged
Mch—	7.	This cause is dismissed by order of Plaintiff—Costs paid—

S.H. Hill J.P.

No. 645

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Sonoma State of California

Santa Rosa Bank Plaintiff	Action Note of hand
vs.	Demand $227.45/100
N. L. Rea Defendant	Griffith & Curtin Attorney for Plaintiff
	Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	31st	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Wallin –
1888. Feb.	10.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant – together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 10th day of Feb 1888. and filed –
"	21.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired – the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law –
		In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein – and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law .. Now at this day on application of Griffith & Curtin the Plaintiffs attorneys – It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein –
"		Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Two hundred and twenty seven and 45/100 dollars the amount of the note sued on and the sum of Sixty two and 16/100 dollars the interest thereon and the further sum of Six and 50/100 dollars Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in Gold Coin of the United States –
"		Judgment for the Principal of the note sued on. 227.45
		" " " Interest thereon – 62.16
		" " " Justices fees – 4.50
		" " " Constables " 2.00
"		Total amount of judgment $296.11
		G. H. Hill. J.P. –
1888. Dec	3.	Judgment – Credited by the sum of $100.00 by order of Plaintiffs Atty –

Abstract of judgment issued for record June 3rd 1890.
Oliver Wolcott J.P.

No. 646

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Smitz & August Hentz
DefendantS

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec.	31.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walker.
1888. Jan	3	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendant plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence —
[illegible]		Defendants allowed at once to leave the town.
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 647

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno — State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Chs. Edwards, Tom Abbot — Jim Martin
Wm. Riley — Ned Weir & Jas. Witting —
Defendants

Action Vagrancy —

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888.		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan	2.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walton —
[illegible]	3.	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence —
	"	Defendants permitted to at once leave the town —

S. H. Hill J.P. —

No. 648.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

J. S. Birkhead Plaintiff

vs.

Tony Cheng Defendant

Action Account

Demand $223.75/100

Tupper & Tupper Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.	
Jan	3.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walton	
"	"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & give Const. C. P. Walton	
"	4.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 4th day of January 1888 and filed	
"	"	Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed	
"	10.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law	
"	"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law Now at this day on application of Tupper and Tupper attorneys for Plaintiffs It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff Complaint on file herein	
"	"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Two Hundred and twenty three and 75/100 dollars amount sued for and the sum of Eighteen and 70/100 dollars the costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the United States	
"	"	Judgment for the amount sued for	223.75
		" " " Justices fees	8.50
		" " " Constable "	10.20
"	"	Total amount of Judgment	$242.45
		S. H. Hill J.P.	
"	11.	Execution issued and given Constable	

No. 649.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Action Account—

Demand $42.88/100

P. R. Fanning Plaintiff

vs.

A. H. Baregutter

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan.	3.	Complaint filed—
Dec.	20.	Summons issued and given Const. Walton—

No. 652

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
John Doe alias
Gilbert Jarrett Defendant

Action Cruelty to an animal

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan	3.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Dep. Const. P. L. Walker
"	Ret'd "	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads not guilty — Defendant held for his appearance for trial in the sum of Twenty five dollars Bond approved & filed —
1888 Jan	4.	This cause set for trial at 11 O'c. A.M. January 21st. 1888.
	7.	Issued Subpoena for the People and defendant
	20.	Issued venire for Jury on demand of defendant
	21"	11. A M Defendant in Court service returned and filed Parties ready for trial. Jurors sworn to answer questions as to qualification — after which twelve jurors were sworn to try the cause — J. W. Ferguson and P. L. Walker were sworn and examined as witnesses for the People People closed W. S. Davis and Gilbert Jarrett sworn and examined as witnesses for the defendant — Cause closed argued and submitted to the jury — The jury after a short deliberation — acquitted the defendant — Jury & defendant discharged

S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 651

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Seilderman Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan	5th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Depty Const. J. B. Morgan
Feb.	3.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises – Defendant pleads guilty and ordered to appear for sentence at 10 O.C. A.M. Feb 4th 1888 –
	4.	10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court. This being the hour fixed for sentence Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Geo. Seiderman be and you are hereby fined in the sum of ten dollars and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 652

In the Justices Court of 3rd Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Geo. McNie Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan	6.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. W. J. Bartlett.
"	11.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. This Cause by consent of Parties set for Examination at 2 O'C. P.M. January 12th 1888 — Defendant Committed to the Sheriff of Fresno County to await his examination —
"	12.	2. O'C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for Examination E. J. Burnham acting as Short hand reporter. Witnesses sworn and put under the rule of the Court — Samuel Walker, A. J. Rose, Geo Lamand and Geo Kruper on the Stand and examined as witnesses for the prosecution. Cause Continued by consent until 9. O'C. A.M. Jan. 14th 1888 —
"	14.	9. O'C. A.M. Defendant in Court and ready to proceed with his Examination — Sam Walker on the stand — having been recalled. James McNie — and C. P. Walker — Examined as witnesses for the People Case closed by the Prosecution — George McNie — Sam Walker — J. A. Barker C. W. Mann. John Conlly — J. Woods. A. J. Rose — Sworn and Examined for the defense Cause argued and submitted — The Court took the same under advisement until 1 O'C. P.M. Jan. 16th 1888 —
	16.	1. O'C. P.M. Defendant in Court. This being the hour fixed by the Court for its finding — Whereupon. It appearing to me that the offense to wit: Murder as charged in the Complaint on file herein has been committed and that there is sufficient cause to believe the above named Geo. McNie guilty thereof — I order that he be held to answer to the same and committed to the Sheriff of the County Fresno and that he be admitted to bail in the sum of $1000 and is committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno until he give such bail — G. H. Hill J.P.

No. 653

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

W. S. Keys
Plaintiff
vs.
Thos. H. Nolan and
W. W. Riedemann
Defendant

Action Account for labor

Demand $177.52/100

Goucher & Gis
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan	7th	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff Counsel—
	"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff Counsel—
	12th	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendants on the 9 & 12th days of January 1888. and filed together with a copy of the complaint on file herein— Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed—
	14.	Now comes the defendants in proper person and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiffs Complaint contained—
	19.	This cause set for trial 11. O.C. A.M. February. 4th. 1888—
	24.	Issued Subpoenas for the defendant & gave Const. Walter
Feb	6.	Defendant demands trial by jury—
"	8.	11. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court—service and subpoenas returned and filed—defendants say they are not ready for trial in consequence of the absence of a material witness—attachment for said witness issued and given Const. J. S. Morgan—Cause continued until 2. O.C. P.M.—this day—
"		2. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court—and say they are now ready for trial—Jurors sworn and examined as to qualification after which by consent of parties the following jurors were sworn to try the cause to wit;—Henry Jones—H. A. Rice—John Smalle S. M. Brooks, H. Burton, R. Bicknel. G. V. Holman & L. Morris— W. S. Keys—Geo. Rupert—G. F. Anderson—sworn and examined as witnesses for the Plaintiff—prosecution Rests— Wm. Fegan—T. H. Nolan—W. W. Riedemann & W. W. Boyd—sworn as witnesses for the defense—Case closed and submitted to the jury for their deliberation and verdict— The jury after a short retirement were returned into Court by the proper officer of this Court with the following verdict We the jury in the case of Keys Plaintiff vs Riedemann and Nolan Defendants find for the defendants signed H. A. Rice. foreman— See Page 287—

No. 654

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Arthur Tombs & Harry Ainsworth Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan	9th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. J. S. Walton –
Rept 11	11.	Defendant Arthur Tombs in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises – Defendant pleads guilty as charged – and waives the legal time for sentence – Defendant fined & paid $10.00 – and discharged Paid County.
	"	Harry Ainsworth in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises, defendant pleads not guilty as charged –
	"	Defendant discharged being first time –

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 655.

In the Justices Court of 3 Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Ah. Joe — Defendant

Action Cruelty to an Animal

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan	10th	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. E. Stimms
" [illegible]	11th	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence —
	"	Defendant fined Twenty dollars and allowed two days in which to pay said fine —
	17.	Defendant Paid said fine of $20.00 and Discharged — Paid County —

J. H. Hill J.P.

Trans

Pag 285 — Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Defendant do have and recover of and from the Plaintiff W. D. Keys the sum of Fifty-Eight and 10/100 Dollars the Costs necessarily expended in the defense of this action herein —

Jury fees —	16.00
Notary "	.50
Constables "	25.20
Justices "	12.00
Witness Wm Lagen — one day no mill —	2.20
" W. W. Byrd " " " "	2.20
" Total amount of judgment "	$58.10

J. H. Hill J.P.

June 2. This came settled in full —

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 656

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Jas. Fagan, Frank Mitchell Jos. Kelly Alfred Nic John Wilson, Jas. Smith and Silas Boon — Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan.	12"	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walker —
[illegible] 11	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law — Defendants James Fagan Frank Mitchell. Alfred Nic — and Silas Boon — plead not guilty — and upon examination were discharged upon the grounds that they are not properly vagrants under the law — Defendants Joseph Kelly — Geo. Wilson and James Smith plead guilty — as charged and waive the legal time for sentence — Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Joseph Kelly, Geo. Wilson and Jas. Smith be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of thirty days each of you — J. H. Hill J. P.

No. 657.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

P. L. Jones
Plaintiff
vs.
J. E. Evans
Defendant

Action Note of hand

Demand $124.74/100 with interest —
$25.00 attys fee — R. B. Terry
Attorney for Plaintiff
Church & Cary
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan	12.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. J. A. Barker
"		Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. J. A. Barker
"	13.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 13th day of January 1888 and filed
	"	Writ of attachment executed returned and filed
	"	Defendant file his affidavit for an Order of Court.

No. 658.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

S. G. Yancy Plaintiff

vs.

C. Heidrich

Defendant

Action Account

Demand $46 02/100.

Tupper & Tupper

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888.		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan.	12.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiffs Counsel

No. 659.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

H. W. Ewing Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Trumsh alias B Trumsh Defendant

Action Account —

Demand $ 10.40/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan.	13.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. J. A. Barker Affidavit for Attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. J. A. Barker
	14.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 13th day of January 1888 and filed — Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed —
"	20.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having Expired ~~and~~ the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law —
"		In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law —
"		Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff, It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff complaint on file herein —
"		Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Ten & 40/100 dollars and the sum of Thirteen and 20/100 dollars Cost necessarily expended in this action in lawful money of the U. S. —
"		Judgment for the Principal sum for — 10.40
		" " " Justice fees — 8.50
		" " " Constable " 4.70
"		Total amount of Judgment — $23.60 S. H. Hill J. P. —
	29.	This case dismissed having been paid in full S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 644.

In the Justices Court of 3d. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Action Account

Kutner Goldstein & Co. Plaintiffs
vs.
C. S. Benjamin Defendant

Demand $52.80/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan.	13.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walton
	16.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 16th day of January 1888. and filed —
"	24.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
"		In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law. Now at this day on application of the Plaintiffs. It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs complaint on file herein —
"		Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiffs do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Fifty-two and 80/100 dollars the Principal sum due and the sum of Six and 50/100 dollars the Costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action all in lawful money of the United States —
"		Judgment for the principal sum for 52.80
		" " Justices fees — 4.50
		" " Constables " 2.00
"		Total amount of Judgment — $59.30
		S. H. Hill J.P.
		Handed Plaintiffs abstract of Judgment —
May.	12.	Issued Execution and gave Const. C. P. Walton —

No. 661.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Juan Bautista & Gabriel Jimenez Defendants

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan	16.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const C. P. Walton –
Defts	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises, Defendants plead not guilty
"		Cause set for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. January 16th 1888 –
		Subpoena issued and given Const. Walton –
		Defendants committed to wait their trial –
	"	2. O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court and say they are ready for trial – Witnesses sworn and examined pro and con – Cause argued and submitted The Court having fully considered the same now orders that the defendants be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict
		E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 662

In the Justices Court of 3rd Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Miller

Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan.	16.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Walton—
Rep'd	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises defendant pleads Not guilty as charged—
	"	Cause set for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. this day—
	16.	2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial — Witnesses sworn and examined in the case— Cause argued and submitted—
	"	The Court having fully considered the same finds that the defendant is guilty as charged— Defendant waives the legal time for sentence and asks to be sentenced now—
	"	Defendant fined and Paid $20.00 — Paid County.

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 663.

In the Justices Court of (3) Township,

County of Fresno State of California

B. R. Woodworth
Plaintiff
vs.
Fresno Athletic Club
Defendant

Action Account

Demand $122.38/100

G. E. Colwell
Attorney for Plaintiff

G. A. Nourse
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan	16.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walter.
	"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. C. P. Walter.
	19.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 17th day of January 1888. and filed –
	"	Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed –
"	23	Defendant files its demurrer to the Plaintiffs complaint herein –
Feb	27.	Defendant files his answer to the Plaintiff complaint on file herein –
Mch	2.	This cause set for trial at 2 O.C. P.M. Mch 3. 1888 –
June	9.	This cause settled in full & dismissed

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 664.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Kutner Goldstein & Co Plaintiffs

vs.

H. C. B. Gill

Defendant

Action Account

Demand $59.64/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan	17"	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walker.
	"	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 17th day of January 1888. and filed
	18.	This Cause is dismissed by order of Plaintiff
		S H Hill J.P.

No. 665

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

J. V. Cund Plaintiff
vs.
J. B. Fiske Defendant

Action Damages —

Demand $299.99/100

W. L. Grady Attorney for Plaintiff

Griffith & Means Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS.

Jan. 17. Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.

" Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 17th day of January 1888. and filed

" 19 Now comes the defendant by his atty E. J. Griffith and for answer denies each and every allegation in the Plaintiffs complaint contained —

" This Cause set for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. February 11th 1888 —

Feb. 11. This Cause Continued indefinitely — Defendant Sick —

" 20. This Cause is continued until 9. O.C. A.M — February 24th 1888 —

" 24. 9. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court — Venire & Subpoena returned & filed Parties ready for trial — Jurors sworn to answer questions as to qualification — after which the following jurors were sworn to try the Cause to wit:— N. A. Carty. N. M. Chittenden G. R. G. Glenn. W. W. Phipps. Wm Lucas. Henry Jones Alex Goldstein A. Hall. G. V. Tolman. J. L. Hudson — W. W. L. Hill. & John Tyler —

J. V. Cund and J. H. Waterhouse — sworn and examined as witnesses for the Plaintiff — Defendant waived the introduction of any testimony Cause argued and submitted to the jury for their deliberation and verdict — The jury after a short retirement were returned in to Court with the following verdict — to wit:— Fresno Feb. 24/88. We the jury find a verdict for the Plaintiff in the sum of 37.75/100 and Cost. Alex Goldstein foreman —

" Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $37.75/100 — as allowed by the jury and the sum of $51.10/100 Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of U.S.

" Judgment for the Principal allowed by the jury —	37.75
" " " " Justices fees —	8.50
" " " " Constables " —	13.80
" " " " Jury "	24.00
Witness — J. H. Waterhouse — 1. day 1. mile —	2.20
" Wm Daggett — " 3 — "	2.60
Total amount of Judgment	$88.85

S. H. Hill J.P.

Plaintiff files his cost bill Feb. 27th 1888 —
Defendant filed his notice & undertaking on appeal to the Superior Court — Feb. 27th 1888 —
Served Defendants Counsel —

No. 666.

In the Justices Court of 3d. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
John Doe and Richard Roe Defendants

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan [illegible]	18	Complaint filed Warrant Issued and give Com.

No. 667.

In the Justices Court of 3d Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe & Richard Roe

Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan Sept "	17.	Complaint and affidavit made and filed - Search Warrant issued - and given Constable -

No. 668.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The First National Bank of Fresno Plaintiffs

vs.

Joseph L. Smith — Defendant

Action Note of hand

Demand $80 00/100.

Church & Cary — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan.	19.	Complaint filed —

No. 669.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The Fresno National Bank of Fresno Plaintiff

vs.

J. L. Smith —
Joseph L. Smith. Defendant

Action Note of hand

Demand $20.00

Church & Cory Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
June	19.	Complaint filed —

No. 674

In the Justices Court of Township,

County of Mono State of California

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
W. H. Lemminger Defendant

Action [illegible]

Demand $

[illegible] Attorney for Plaintiff

[illegible] Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan	22	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Dep Const. J. L. Barrett
" Reported	24.	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant deposited in Court the sum of $250.00 for his appearance in this case for his examination on said Charge—
	25.	This cause set for Examination at 7. O.C. P.M. Jan 27th 1888— Issued subpoenas for the People and defendant—
	27.	7. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and ready for Examination Witnesses sworn and examined pro and con. Case submitted. The court took the same under advisement until 10. O.C. A.M. January 28th 1888—
	28	10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court— This being the time fixed for the finding of the Court— The Court after having fully considered this Matter. It is now ordered that the defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict.

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 671

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

John Lopez Plaintiff

vs.

Buchanan & Morris - Defendant

Action Account -

Demand $24.40/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan.	23.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walton.
	"	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 23rd day of January 1888 and filed -
	29.	By order of the Plaintiff this cause is dismissed having been fully satisfied -

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 672.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

C. W. Barnett et al. Plaintiffs vs. Hank Hann Defendant	Action Account Demand $33.05/100 Church & Cary Attorneys for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan.	24.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walker.
	"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. C. P. Walker.
Feb.	1.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 1st day of Feb. 1888 and filed— Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed—
"	7.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law—
	"	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired, and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law
	"	Now at this day on application of Church & Cary attorneys for Plaintiff, it is ordered that judgment for the said Plaintiff be entered herein in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs complaint on file herein—
	"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of thirty three and 05/100 dollars the Principal sued for and the sum of twenty five and 30/100 dollars costs necessary incurred in the prosecution of the action in lawful money of the United States—
	"	Judgment for the Principal sued for — 33,05
		" " Justices fees — 8.50
		" " Constables " 16.80
	"	Total amount of Judgment — $58.35
		S. H. Hill J.P.
"	"	Cr. Cash $40.00 on said Judgment

Execution returned satisfied June 4th 1888 — S. H. Hill J.P.
entirely by $11.70 — Mch 9th 1888—

Execution issued Mch 5th 1888—

No. 673.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
William Dixon
Defendant

Action Battery.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan.	24.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Depty. Const. L. F. McDill
Repr. "	26.	Defendant in court and informed of the charge against them and of his rights in the premises — Defendant pleads not guilty. Defendant held in a bond of $150.00 for his appearance — Bond approved and filed —
	27.	This case set for trial January 28th 1888 at 11. O.C. A. M — Issued Subpoena for the People and gave Const. Mears
	28.	11. O.C. A. M. This case continued until 1. O.C. P.M. Jan. 30th 1888 —
	30.	1. O.C. P. M — Defendant in Court and informed of the charge and says he is ready for trial — Parties waive trial by Jury Witnesses sworn and examined pro and con Case submitted — The Court after having fully considered the same finds the defendant guilty and orders that he appear for sentence at 11 — O.C. A. M. Jan 31st 1888 —
	31.	11. O.C. A. M. Defendant in Court. This being the time fixed for sentence Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you William Dixon be and you are hereby fined in the sum of Fifteen dollars — and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine — S. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 674—

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Geo. Rupert— Plaintiff
vs.
J. H. Leninger Defendant

Action Account for Services

Demand $50.00

G. G. Goucher Gear & Welch Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan—	25.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Walter
	"	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 25th day of January 1888. and filed—
	"	Writ of attachment issued on filing of undertaking on attachment—
	28.	By order of the Plaintiff this cause is hereby dismissed at Plaintiff cost—
		S. H. Hill J. P.
Memo—		
Page 318.	-	and the Court having fully considered the same— Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you A. E. Pool be and you are hereby fined in the sum of Ninety ($91.00) Dollars— Defendant Paid $21.00 and is allowed until and during the 11th day of Feb 1888— to pay into this Court the sum of $70.00— a balance due and unpaid on said fine—
Feb "	13.	Now in default of the payment of the said sum of $70.00 a balance due on said fine as agreed to be paid by said A. E. Pool— the defendant in this action—
	"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that you A. E. Pool be and you are hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said unpaid $70.00 being a balance due and unpaid on said fine—
		S. H. Hill J. P.—

No. 675

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Smith and Wm. Welsh — Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan.	25.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. N. N. Bartlett —
Rept	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises — Defendants plead guilty — and waive the legal time for sentence — Defendants allowed to leave the town —
"		S. H. Hill J.P. —

No. 676.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

M. A. & A. E. Pool. Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

G. E. Church – Attorney for Plaintiff

Grady & [illegible] – Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan.	27.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. A. Walker
[illegible]	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises Defendants plead Not guilty
	"	The cause of M. A. Pool set for trial at 1½ O.C. P.M. Jan 1888
	"	1½ O.C. P.M. Defendant M. A. Pool in Court and ready for trial – Witnesses sworn and examined – Case closed – The Court having fully considered the testimony in this cause Orders that the Defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict –
	"	The cause against A. E. Pool set for trial Feby 7th 1888 – at 10 O.C. A.M. – Defendant held to answer for trial in a bond of $100 – Bond approved and filed – Issued Subpoenas for the People and gave Const. Walker –
	"	Defendant demands trial by Jury ––
Feb.	7.	10 O.C. A.M. – Defendant in Court – Cause Continued until 1 O.C. P.M.
	"	1 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court – Venire returned and filed. Parties ready for trial – Jurors sworn to answer questions – as to qualification – after which the following jurors were sworn to try the cause to wit; R. G. Hamill – W. S. Gilbert – C. E. Gardner – C. W. Cutter John Burk – R. Lockhart – Wm. Wilkinson – G. W. Fuller W. S. Munroe – A. Carey – G. W. Stone & A. McElhinney – E. L. Miller – W. G. Rice – Ed Maguire – & O. J. Mead sworn and Examined as witnesses for the People – Prosecution Closed – A. E. Pool sworn and examined in his own behalf – Cause Closed argued and Submitted to the Jury for their deliberation and verdict. – The Jury after a short retirement were returned into Court with the following verdict to wit; Fresno Feby 7th 1888 – We the Jury find the defendant guilty as charged – William Wilkinson Foreman – The Jury discharged – Defendant waives the legal time for sentence and asks to be sentenced at 5 O.C. P.M. this day –
	"	5 O.C. P.M. – Defendant in Court – this being the time fixed for Sentence – See Page 316 –

No. B. 477.

In the Justices Court of Bodie Township,

County of Mono State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

H. P. Rice Defendant

Action Battery -

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1880		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan- [illegible]	27.	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. J. J. Bartlett.

No. 678

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Davis & Wm. Gore Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan.	27.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. J. J. Bartlett –
	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead not guilty as charged – Defendants committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno to await their trial –
	28.	Defendants found guilty and allowed until 9. O'c. A. M. of this day to quit and leave the town –
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 679.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Doe — alias
Edward Brown —
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888.		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan.	28.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. C. P. Walton.
	"	Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law Defendant Pleads guilty and waives the legal time for Sentence —
	"	Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Edward Brown be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of Thirty dollars and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine — S. H. Hill J.P.
Feb.	2:	Now comes Charles Jones — and assumes the payment of the Sum of Twenty five dollars balance due and unpaid on a fine against Edward Brown during the month of February 1888 — S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 684

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Kenney & Michael Murphy— Defendants

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan	28	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walker.
[illegible]	30.	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence—
	"	Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Wm Kenney be and and you are Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of fifteen days—

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 681.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. Ramsey, L. M. Kelly and B. Morton – Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan.	31.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. P. S. Walker
	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises. Defendants L. M. Kelly and Thos. Morton plead guilty as charged – and waive the legal time for sentence – Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you and each of you be and you are hereby Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of Fifteen days each of you –
	"	Defendant Wm. Ramsey pleads not guilty as charged – after examination of said defendant the Court ordered that the defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to fully establish the crime of vagrancy –

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 682

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Action Battery

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
John Doe King – alias E. Simmons Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan.	30th	Complaint filed Warrant issued to Policeman G. H. Mc[illegible] –
Feb.	1st	Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises – Defendant pleads not guilty and deposits the sum of $20.00 for his appearance for trial –
	3.	This cause set for trial at 1. O.C. P.M. Feb 4th 1888 – Issued Subpoena for the People and defendant –
	10.	This cause is set for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Feb 14th 1888 –
Feb.	14.	1. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court – and ready for trial – It is now ordered and adjudged that the defendant be and he is hereby discharged upon the grounds of the absence of the prosecuting witness – without prejudice to the People –

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 683.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

A. N. Comfort Plaintiff

vs.

M. R. Madera Defendant

Action Account

Demand $3 00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan	31.	Complaint filed Summons Issued & given Dept Const. J. B. Morgan
	"	Summons returned Showing personal Service on the defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 31st day of January 1888 — and filed —
Feb.	4.	This Cause is dismissed having been paid in full

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 684

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Frank Sperling Plaintiff

vs.

W. M. Curran Defendant

Action Account

Demand $80.00/100

Grady & Welsh Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888.		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan	31.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Dept. Const. Morgan
	"	Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Morgan
Feb	27.	This case dismissed by order of Plaintiff

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 685

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

J. M. Smith Plaintiff

vs.

J. E. Ryan Defendant

Action Account

Demand $13.50/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Jan	31.	Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const Morgan
Feb.	1.	Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein 31st day of January 1888. and filed
"	7.	In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law
"		This Cause is hereby dismissed having been paid in full
		S. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 686

In the Justices Court of 3 Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Action Prize fighting

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Wm. Hamilton & Harry Stewart
Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Feb.	1"	Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Meade
	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises—
Refd	"	Defendants Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno to await their Examination.
	4.	This Cause Set for trial at 7. O.C. P.M. Feb 6th 1888—
		Issued Subpoenas for the People—.
"	6.	7. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court— This Cause is Continued until 9. O.C. A.M. Feb 16th 1888—
"	16.	This Cause Continued until 7. O.C. P.M. Feb 17th 1888—
	17.	Cause dismissed on motion of the District Attorney

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 687.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Sam. Mills Jack Quick Phil Jones
Wm Norton Alex. Johnson Jim Wean
and John Mackey -
Defendants

Action vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Feb.	2.	Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. C. P. Walters
	"	Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against
Rept.		them and of their rights in the premises - Defendants plead
		guilty as Charged - and waive the legal time for Sentence -
	"	Defendants - permitted to at once leave the town -
		S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 688.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Fresno State of California

Martin Mann – Plaintiff	Action Replevin –
vs.	Demand $100 00/100
Harry Mann – Defendant	Gray & Welch Attorney for Plaintiff
	Griffith Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888		PROCEEDINGS.
Feb.	2.	Complaint in Claim and delivery filed, Undertaking and Affidavit in Claim and delivery made – Summons issued and given Plaintiff –
"	9.	Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant – together with a copy of the Complaint on file here – on the 7th day of February 1888 – & filed Affidavit – and Undertaking on Claim & delivery returned and filed –
"	13.	Now comes the Defendant by his atty Griffith and
"		and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff Complaint Contained –